# **2011 Annual Report**

# Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area



Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

JUNE 30, 2012



#### ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT OFFICE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER JUDY BAAR TOPINKA

Name of Municipality: Chicago

Reporting Fiscal Year: 2011

County: Cook

Fiscal Year End: 12/31 /2011

Unit Code: 016/620/30

### TIF Administrator Contact Information

First Name: Andrew J.

Last Name: Mooney

Address: City Hall 121 N. LaSalle

Title: TIF Administrator

Telephone: (312) 744-0025

City: Chicago, IL

Zip: 60602

E-Mail: TIFReports@cityofchicago.org

I attest to the best of my knowledge, this report of the redevelopment project areas in:

City/Village of Chicago

is complete and accurate at the end of this reporting

Fiscal year under the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 et. seq.] Or the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law [65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 et. seq.]

Written signature of TIF Administrator

6.15.12

Section 1 (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1.5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1.5)\*)

#### FILL OUT ONE FOR EACH TIF DISTRICT

Name of Redevelopment Project Area	Date Designated	Date Terminated
105th/Vincennes	10/3/2001	12/31/2025
111th Street/Kedzie Avenue Business District	9/29/1999	9/29/2022
119th and Halsted	2/6/2002	12/31/2026
119th/I-57	11/6/2002	12/31/2026
126th and Torrence	12/21/1994	12/21/2017
134th and Avenue K	3/12/2008	12/31/2032
24th/Michigan	7/21/1999	7/21/2022
26th and King Drive	1/11/2006	12/31/2030
35th and Wallace	12/15/1999	12/31/2023
35th/Halsted	1/14/1997	12/31/2021
35th/State	1/14/2004	12/31/2028
40th/State	3/10/2004	12/31/2028
43rd/Cottage Grove	7/8/1998	12/31/2022
45th/Western Industrial Park Conservation Area	3/27/2002	12/31/2026
47th/Ashland	3/27/2002	12/31/2026
47th/Halsted	5/29/2002	12/31/2026
47th/King Drive	3/27/2002	12/31/2026
47th/State	7/21/2004	12/31/2028
49th Street/St. Lawrence Avenue	1/10/1996	12/31/2020
51st/ Archer	5/17/2000	12/31/2024
53rd Street	1/10/2001	12/31/2025
60th and Western	5/9/1996	5/9/2019

<sup>\*</sup>All statutory citations refer to one of two sections of the Illinois Municipal Code: the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 et. seq.] or the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law [65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 et. seq.]



# ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT OFFICE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER JUDY BAAR TOPINKA

Name of Municipality: Chicago

County:Cook

Unit Code: 016/620/30

Reporting Fiscal Year: 2011

Fiscal Year End: 12/31 /:2011

63rd/Ashland	3/29/2006	12/31/2030
63rd/Pulaski	5/17/2000	12/31/2024
67th/Cicero	10/2/2002	12/31/2026
67th/Wentworth	5/04/2011	12/31/2035
69th/Ashland	11/3/2004	12/31/2028
71st and Stony Island	10/7/1998	10/7/2021
72nd and Cicero	11/17/1993	11/17/2016
73rd and Kedzie	11/17/1993	11/17/2016
73rd/University	9/13/2006	12/31/2030
79th and Cicero	6/8/2005	12/31/2029
79th Street Corridor	7/8/1998	7/8/2021
79th Street/Southwest Highway	10/3/2001	12/31/2025
79th/Vincennes	9/27/2007	12/31/2031
83rd/Stewart	3/31/2004	12/31/2028
87th/Cottage Grove	11/13/2002	12/31/2026
89th and State	4/1/1998	4/1/2021
95th and Western	7/13/1995	7/13/2018
95th Street and Stony Island	5/16/1990	12/31/2014
Addison Corridor North	6/4/1997	6/4/2020
Addison South	5/9/2007	12/31/2031
Archer Courts	5/12/1999	12/31/2023
Archer/ Central	5/17/2000	12/31/2024
Archer/Western	2/11/2009	12/31/2033
Armitage/Pulaski	6/13/2007	. 12/31/2031
Austin/Commercial	9/27/2007	12/31/2031
Avalon Park/South Shore	· 7/31/2002	12/31/2026
Avondale	7/29/2009	12/31/2033
Belmont/ Central	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
Belmont/Cicero	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
Bronzeville	11/4/1998	12/31/2022
Bryn Mawr/Broadway	12/11/1996	12/11/2019
Calumet Avenue/Cermak Road	7/29/1998 _	7/29/2021
Calumet River	3/10/2010	12/31/2034
Canal/Congress	11/12/1998	12/31/2022
Central West	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Chatham-Ridge	12/18/1986	12/31/2010 (1)
Chicago/ Kingsbury	4/12/2000	12/31/2024
Chicago/Central Park	2/27/2002	12/31/2026
Chicago Lakeside Development – Phase 1 (USX)	5/12/2010	12/31/2034
Cicero/Archer	5/17/2000	12/31/2024
Clark Street and Ridge Avenue	9/29/1999	9/29/2022
Clark/Montrose	7/7/1999	7/7/2022
Commercial Avenue	11/13/2002	12/31/2026
Devon/Sheridan	3/31/2004	12/31/2028

<sup>(1)</sup> This TIF has been terminated; however, the sales tax portion continues to exist for the sole purpose of servicing outstanding obligations which may be retired early at which point the sales tax portion will also terminate.



# ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT OFFICE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER JUDY BAAR TOPINKA

Name of Municipality: Chicago

County:Cook

Unit Code: 016/620/30

Reporting Fiscal Year: 2011

Fiscal Year End: 12/31 /2011

Devon/Western	11/3/1999	12/31/2023
Diversey/ Narragansett	2/5/2003	12/31/2027
Division/Homan	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Division/North Branch	3/15/1991	3/15/2014
Division-Hooker	7/10/1996	7/10/2019
Drexel Boulevard	7/10/2002	12/31/2026
Eastman/North Branch	10/7/1993	10/7/2016
Edgewater/ Ashland	10/1/2003	12/31/2027
Elston/Armstrong Industrial Corridor	7/19/2007	12/31/2031
Englewood Mall	11/29/1989	11/29/2012
Englewood Neighborhood	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Ewing Avenue	3/10/2010	12/31/2034
Forty-first Street and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive	7/13/1994	7/13/2017
Fullerton/ Milwaukee	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Galewood/Armitage Industrial	7/7/1999	7/7/2022
Goose Island	7/10/1996	7/10/2019
Greater Southwest Industrial Corridor (East)	3/10/1999	12/31/2023
Greater Southwest Industrial Corridor (West)	4/12/2000	12/31/2024
Harlem Industrial Park Conservation Area	3/14/2007	12/31/2031
Harrison/Central	7/26/2006	12/31/2030
Hollywood/Sheridan	11/7/2007	12/31/2031
Homan/Grand Trunk	12/15/1993	12/15/2016
Homan-Arthington	2/5/1998	2/5/2021
Howard-Paulina	10/14/1988	12/31/2012
Humboldt Park Commercial	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Irving Park/Elston	5/13/2009	12/31/2033
Irving/Cicero	6/10/1996	12/31/2020
Jefferson Park Business District	9/9/1998	9/9/2021
Jefferson/ Roosevelt	8/30/2000	12/31/2024
Kennedy/Kimball	3/12/2008	12/31/2032
Kinzle Industrial Corridor	6/10/1998	6/10/2021
Kostner Avenue	11/5/2008	12/31/2032
Lake Calumet Area Industrial	12/13/2000	12/31/2024
Lakefront	3/27/2002	12/31/2026
Lakeside/Clarendon	7/21/2004	12/31/2028
LaSalle Central	11/15/2006	12/31/2030
Lawrence/ Kedzie	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Lawrence/Broadway	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Lawrence/Pulaski	2/27/2002	12/31/2026
Lincoln Avenue	. 11/3/1999	12/31/2023
Lincoln-Belmont-Ashland	11/2/1994	11/2/2017
Little Village East	4/22/2009	12/31/2033
Little Village Industrial Corridor	6/13/2007	12/31/2031
Madden/Wells	11/6/2002	12/31/2026



# ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT OFFICE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER JUDY BAAR TOPINKA

Name of Municipality: Chicago

County:Cook

Unit Code: 016/620/30

Reporting Fiscal Year: **2011**Fiscal Year End: 12 / 31 / **2011** 

Madison/Austin Corridor 9/29/1999 12/31/2023 Michigan/Cermak 9/13/1989 12/31/2013 Midway Industrial Corridor 2/16/2000 12/31/2024 Midwest 5/17/2000 12/31/2024 Montclare 8/30/2000 12/31/2024 Montrose/Clarendon 6/30/2010 12/31/2034 Near North 7/30/1997 7/30/2020 **Near South** 11/28/1990 12/31/2014 Near West 3/23/1989 12/31/2013 North Branch (North) 7/2/1997 12/31/2021 North Branch (South) 2/5/1998 2/5/2021 North Pullman 6/30/2009 12/31/2033 North-Cicero 7/30/1997 7/30/2020 Northwest Industrial Corridor 12/2/1998 12/2/2021 Ogden/Pulaski 4/9/2008 12/31/2032 Ohio/Wabash 6/7/2000 12/31/2024 9/5/2007 12/31/2031 Pershing/King Peterson/ Cicero 2/16/2000 12/31/2024 Peterson/ Pulaski 2/16/2000 12/31/2024 Pilsen Industrial Corridor 6/10/1998 12/31/2022 Portage Park 9/9/1998 9/9/2021 Pratt/Ridge Industrial Park Conservation Area 6/23/2004 12/31/2028 Pulaski Corridor 6/9/1999 6/9/2022 Randolph and Wells 6/9/2010 12/31/2034 Ravenswood Corridor 3/9/2005 12/31/2029 Read-Dunning 1/11/1991 12/31/2015 River South 7/30/1997 7/30/2020 River West 1/10/2001 12/31/2025 Roosevelt/Canal 3/19/1997 12/31/2021 Roosevelt/Cicero 2/5/1998 2/5/2021 Roosevelt/Racine 11/4/1998 12/31/2022 Roosevelt/Union 5/12/1999 5/12/2022 Roosevelt-Homan 12/5/1990 12/31/2014 Roseland/Michigan 1/16/2002 12/31/2026 Sanitary Drainage and Ship Canal 7/24/1991 7/24/2014 South Chicago 4/12/2000 12/31/2024 South Works Industrial 11/3/1999 12/31/2023 Stevenson/Brighton 4/11/2007 12/31/2031 Stockyards Annex 12/11/1996 12/31/2020 Stockyards Industrial Commercial 3/9/1989 12/31/2013 Stockyards Southeast Quadrant Industrial 2/26/1992 2/26/2015 Stony Island Avenue Commercial and Burnside Industrial Corridors 6/10/1998 6/10/2033 Touhy/Western 9/13/2006 12/31/2030 Weed/Fremont 12/31/2032 1/8/2008



# ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT OFFICE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER JUDY BAAR TOPINKA

Name of Municipality: Chicago

County:Cook

Unit Code: 016/620/30

Reporting Fiscal Year: 2011

Fiscal Year End: 12/31 /2011

West Grand	6/10/1996	6/10/2019
West Irving Park	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
West Pullman Industrial Park	3/11/1998	3/11/2021
West Woodlawn	5/12/2010	12/31/2034
Western Avenue North	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
Western Avenue Rock Island	2/8/2006	12/31/2030
Western Avenue South	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
Western/Ogden	2/5/1998	2/5/2021
Wilson Yard	6/27/2001	. 12/31/2025
Woodlawn	1/20/1999	1/20/2022
		_
,		

# This page was revised August, 2012 upon the recommendation of the Office of the Illinois Comptroller.

SECTION 2 [Sections 2 through 5 must be completed for each redevelopment project area listed in Section 1.]

Name of Redevelopment Project Area: Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area	
Primary Use of Redevelopment Project Area*: Industrial	
If "Combination/Mixed" List Component Types:	
Under which section of the Illinois Municipal Code was Redevelopment Project Area designated? (check one):	
Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act X Industrial Jobs Recovery Law	

	No	Yes
Were there any amendments to the redevelopment plan, the redevelopment project area, or the State		·····
Sales Tax Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1)]		
If yes, please enclose the amendment labeled Attachment A	X	
Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the municipality that the municipality has complied with all of		
the requirements of the Act during the preceding fiscal year. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (3) and 5/11-74.6-		
22 (d) (3)]		
Please enclose the CEO Certification labeled Attachment B		Χ
Opinion of legal counsel that municipality is in compliance with the Act. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (4) and		
5/11-74.6-22 (d) (4)]		
Please enclose the Legal Counsel Opinion labeled Attachment C		Χ
Were there any activities undertaken in furtherance of the objectives of the redevelopment plan, including		
any project implemented in the preceding fiscal year and a description of the activities undertaken? [65		
ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (A and B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (A and B)]		
If yes, please enclose the Activities Statement labeled Attachment D	X	
Were any agreements entered into by the municipality with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of		
any property within the redevelopment project area or the area within the State Sales Tax Boundary? [65		
ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (C) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (C)]		
If yes, please enclose the Agreement(s) labeled Attachment E	X	
Is there additional information on the use of all funds received under this Division and steps taken by the		
municipality to achieve the objectives of the redevelopment plan? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (D) and		
	}	
5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (D)]		Х
If yes, please enclose the Additional Information labeled Attachment F  Did the municipality's TIF advisors or consultants enter into contracts with entities or persons that have	····	
received or are receiving payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the same TIF? [65		
ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (E) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (E)]	x	
If yes, please enclose the contract(s) or description of the contract(s) labeled Attachment G		
Were there any reports or meeting minutes submitted to the municipality by the joint review board? [65		
ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (F) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (F)]	x	
If yes, please enclose the Joint Review Board Report labeled Attachment H	<del>  ^  </del>	
Were any obligations issued by municipality? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8) (A) and		
5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (A)]	x	
If yes, please enclose the Official Statement labeled Attachment I		
Was analysis prepared by a financial advisor or underwriter setting forth the nature and term of obligation		
and projected debt service including required reserves and debt coverage? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8)		
(B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (B)]	x	
If yes, please enclose the Analysis labeled Attachment J		
Cumulatively, have deposits equal or greater than \$100,000 been made into the special tax allocation		
fund? 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (2) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (2)		
If yes, please enclose Audited financial statements of the special tax allocation fund		Х
labeled Attachment K	ļ	
Cumulatively, have deposits of incremental revenue equal to or greater than \$100,000 been made into	1	
the special tax allocation fund? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (9) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (9)]		
If yes, please enclose a certified letter statement reviewing compliance with the Act labeled		v
Attachment L A list of all intergovernmental agreements in effect in FY 2011, to which the municipality is a part, and an		X
accounting of any money transferred or received by the municipality during that fiscal year pursuant to		
those intergovernmental agreements. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (10)]		
If yes, please enclose list only of the intergovernmental agreements labeled Attachment M	X	

<sup>\*</sup> Types include: Central Business District, Retail, Other Commercial, Industrial, Residential, and Combination/Mixed.

## SECTION 3.1 - (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5)) Provide an analysis of the special tax allocation fund.

Reporting Year Cumulative\*

12,191,164

Fund Balance at Beginning of Reporting Period

**FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD** 

#### Revenue/Cash Receipts Deposited in Fund During Reporting FY:

				% of Total
Property Tax Increment	2,616,509	\$	18,361,821	100%
State Sales Tax Increment				0%
Local Sales Tax Increment				0%
State Utility Tax Increment				0%
Local Utility Tax Increment				0%
Interest	30,552			0%
Land/Building Sale Proceeds				0%
Bond Proceeds				0%
Transfers in from Municipal Sources (Porting in)				0%
Private Sources				0%
Other (identify source; if multiple other sources, attach				
schedule)				0%
Fund During Reporting Period  Cumulative Total Revenues/Cash Receipts	2,647,061	\$	18,361,821	100%
Total Expenditures/Cash Disbursements (Carried forward from Section 3.2)	425,281			
Transfers out to Municipal Sources (Porting out)	_			
Distribution of Surplus	2,000,000			
Total Expenditures/Disbursements	2,425,281			

<sup>-</sup> if there is a positive fund balance at the end of the reporting period, you must complete Section 3.3

<sup>\*</sup> Except as set forth in the next sentence, each amount reported on the rows below, if any, is cumulative from the inception of the respective Project Area. Cumulative figures for the categories of 'Interest,' 'Land/Building Sale Proceeds' and 'Other' may not be fully available for this report due to either of the following: (i) the disposal of certain older records pursuant to the City's records retention policy, or (ii) the availability of records only from January 1, 1997 forward.

# SECTION 3.2 A- (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5)] ITEMIZED LIST OF ALL EXPENDITURES FROM THE SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND (by category of permissible redevelopment cost, amounts expended during reporting period)

#### FOR AMOUNTS >\$10,000 SECTION 3.2 B MUST BE COMPLETED

Category of Permissible Redevelopment Cost [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 (q) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 (o)]

On the of studies and biotestion and must assigned assigned. Subscribes (a)(A) and (b) (A)		Reporting Fiscal Year
. Costs of studies, administration and professional services—Subsections (q)(1) and (o) (1)	E4.000	
	54,620	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	<u></u>	
		\$ 54,62
. Cost of marketing sites—Subsections (q)(1.6) and (o)(1.6)		
		4
_		
	<del> </del>	
		\$
. Property assembly, demolition, site preparation and environmental site improvement costs.		
Subsection (q)(2), (o)(2) and (o)(3)	1 2 2	
·		
		\$
4. Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, repair or remodeling and replacement of existing public		Ψ
	-	
ouildings. Subsection (q)(3) and (o)(4)	447.075	
	117,975	
·		
	1	
		\$ 117,97
. Costs of construction of public works and improvements. Subsection (q)(4) and (o)(5)		
- Cools of construction of passes works and improvements. Cases and (4)(1) and (6)(6)	57,322	110
	07,022	
	· ·	
		\$ 57,32
. Costs of removing contaminants required by environmental laws or rules (o)(6) - Industrial Jobs	S (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	\$ 57,32
. Costs of removing contaminants required by environmental laws or rules (o)(6) - Industrial Jobs secovery TIFs ONLY	S	\$ 57,32
. Costs of removing contaminants required by environmental laws or rules (o)(6) - Industrial Jobs Recovery TIFs ONLY	\$	
. Costs of removing contaminants required by environmental laws or rules (o)(6) - Industrial Jobs Recovery TIFs ONLY	\$	\$ 57,32
c. Costs of removing contaminants required by environmental laws or rules (o)(6) - Industrial Jobs Recovery TIFs ONLY	S Commence of the Commence of	
. Costs of removing contaminants required by environmental laws or rules (o)(6) - Industrial Jobs Recovery TIFs ONLY	S Control of the cont	
. Costs of removing contaminants required by environmental laws or rules (o)(6) - Industrial Jobs Recovery TIFs ONLY	\$	
5. Costs of removing contaminants required by environmental laws or rules (o)(6) - Industrial Jobs Recovery TIFs ONLY	<b>S</b>	
Recovery TIFs ONLY	S	

<ol> <li>Cost of job training and retraining, including "welfare to work" programs Subsection (q)(5), (o)(7) and (o)(12)</li> </ol>		
	195,364	
		3.00
·		
O. Financian accept Cube action (a) (b) and (a)(0)		\$ 195,364
8. Financing costs. Subsection (q) (6) and (o)(8)		
·		
		100
		\$ -
9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9)		<u> </u>
	.:	
		\$ -
10. Cost of Reimbursing school districts for their increased costs caused by TIF assisted housing projects. Subsection (q)(7.5) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY		
		6
11. Relocation costs. Subsection (q)(8) and (o)(10)		-
The control of the co		
	***************************************	
12. Payments in lieu of taxes. Subsection (q)(9) and (o)(11)		-
12. Fayments in lieu of taxes. Subsection (4)(5) and (0)(11)		141
		E. C.
42 Coate of ich training retraining advanced vesstland as correspondent in model of the standard level to		-
13. Costs of job training, retraining advanced vocational or career education provided by other taxing bodies. Subsection (q)(10) and (o)(12)		
<u> </u>		
		\$ -

14. Costs of reimbursing private developers for interest expenses incurred on approved		10
redevelopment projects. Subsection (q)(11)(A-E) and (o)(13)(A-E)		
,	4.	4
		\$ -
15. Costs of construction of new housing units for low income and very low-income households. Subsection (q)(11)(F) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY		
Oubsection (q)(11)(1) - 1 ax indication (1000 at on 1100 at one 1100 at one 1		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		\$ -
16. Cost of day care services and operational costs of day care centers. Subsection (q) (11.5) -		
Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY		
		2.2
		\$ -
The state of the s		<u>-</u>
TOTAL ITEMIZED EXPENDITURES		\$ 425,281
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l'	120,201

#### Section 3.2 B

List all vendors, including other municipal funds, that were paid in excess of \$10,000 during the current reporting year.\*

Name	Service	Amount	
City Staff Costs <sup>1</sup>	Administration	\$31,229	
Local Industrial Retention Initiative	Professional Service	\$18,850	
SomerCor 504, Inc.	Rehabilitation Program	\$117,975	
Civiltech Engineering Inc.	Public Improvement	\$10,525	
Meade Electric Co.	Public Improvement	\$41,196	
The Netwark Group	Job Training	\$15,118	
The Cara Program	Job Training	\$140,329	
Jane Addams Resource	Job Training	\$25,004	
Industrial Fence Inc.	Job Training	\$14,913	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Costs relate directly to the salaries and fringe benefits of employees working solely on tax increment financing districts.

<sup>\*</sup> This table may include payments for Projects that were undertaken prior to 11/1/1999.

# SECTION 3.3 - (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) 65 ILCS 11-74.6-22 (d) (5)) Breakdown of the Balance in the Special Tax Allocation Fund At the End of the Reporting Period (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) (D) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5) (D))

FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD		\$	12,191,164
	Amount of Original Issuance	Amount	Committed
1. Description of Debt Obligations			
Committed for debt service	-	\$	-
Total Amount Committed for Obligations	\$ -	\$	-
2. Description of Project Costs to be Paid			
Committed for future redevelopment project costs		\$	10,663,164
·			
Total Amount Committed for Project Costs		\$	10,663,164
TOTAL AMOUNT COMMITTED		\$	10,663,164
SURPLUS*/(DEFICIT)		\$	1,528,000

<sup>\*</sup>NOTE: If a surplus is calculated, the municipality may be required to repay the amount to overlapping taxing districts.

## SECTION 4 [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (6) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (6)]

Provide a	a	description	of	all	property	purchased	by	the	municipality	during	the	reporting	fiscal	year	within	the
redevelop	om	ent project a	area	₹.												

X No property was acquired by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area

#### SECTION 5 - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (G) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (G)

Please include a brief description of each project.

See "General Notes" Below.	11/1/	99 to Date	Estimated Investment for Subsequent Fiscal Year	1	l Estimated to
TOTAL:					
Private Investment Undertaken	\$		T\$ -	\$	2,000,000
Public Investment Undertaken	\$	110,000	\$ 296,667	\$	1,000,000
Ratio of Private/Public Investment		0		2	2
Project 1: Small Business Improvement Fund (SBIF) **	Project is	ongoing ***			
Private Investment Undertaken				\$	2,000,000
Public Investment Undertaken	\$	110,000	\$ 296,667	\$	1,000,000
Ratio of Private/Public Investment		0			2

<sup>\*\*</sup> Depending on the particular goals of this type of program, the City may: i) make an advance disbursement of the entire public investment amount to the City's program administrator, ii) disburse the amounts through an escrow account, or iii) pay the funds out piecemeal to the program administrator as each ultimate grantee's rehabilitation work is approved under the program.

#### **General Notes**

- (a) Each actual or estimated Public Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised only of payments financed by tax increment revenues. In contrast, each actual or estimated Private Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised of payments financed by revenues that are not tax increment revenues and, therefore, may include private equity, private lender financing, private grants, other public monies, or other local, state or federal grants or loans.
- (b) Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, Total Estimated to Complete Project, is the maximum amount of payments financed by tax increment revenues that could be made pursuant to the corresponding Project's operating documents, but not including interest that may later be payable on developer notes, and may not necessarily reflect actual expenditures, if any, as reported in Section 3 herein. The total public investment amount ultimately made under each Project will depend upon the future occurrence of various conditions, including interest that may be payable on developer notes as set forth in the Project's operating documents.
- (c) Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, 11/1/1999 to Date, is cumulative from the Date of execution of the corresponding Project to the end of the reporting year, and may include interest amounts paid to finance the Public Investment amount. Projects undertaken prior to 11/1/1999 are not reported on this table.
- (d) Intergovernmental agreements, if any, are reported on Attachment M hereto.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> As of the last date of the reporting fiscal year, the construction of this Project was ongoing; the Private Investment Undertaken and Ratio figures for this Project will be reported on the Annual Report for the fiscal year in which the construction of the Project is completed and the total Private Investment figure is available.

STATE OF ILLINOIS	)	
	) SS	Attachment B
COUNTY OF COOK	)	

#### CERTIFICATION

TO:

Judy Baar Topinka
Comptroller of the State of Illinois
James R. Thompson Center
100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Attention: June Canello, Director of Local
Government

Jean-Claude Brizard Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

James R. Dempsey, Controller City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606 Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Herman Brewer
Director
Cook County Bureau of Planning & Dev.
69 West Washington Street, Suite 2900
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Lawrence Wilson, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602 Michael P. Kelly, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

I, Rahm Emanuel, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

#### Attachment B

- 1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.
- 2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2011, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Law, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.
- 3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.
  - 4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 29th day of June, 2012.

Rahm Emanuel, Mayor City of Chicago, Illinois



## DEPARTMENT OF LAW

June 29, 2012

#### CITY OF CHICAGO

Attachment C

Judy Baar Topinka
Comptroller of the State of Illinois
James R. Thompson Center
100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Attention: June Canello, Director of Local
Government

Jean-Claude Brizard Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

James R. Dempsey, Controller City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606 Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Herman Brewer
Director
Cook County Bureau of Planning & Dev.
69 West Washington Street, Suite 2900
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Douglas Wright South Cook County Mosquito Abatement District 155th & Dixie Highway P.O. Box 1030 Harvey, Illinois 60426

Lawrence Wilson, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Michael P. Kelly, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

Re: Roosevelt/Cicero

Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project

Area")

#### Dear Addressees:

I am the Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, in such capacity, I am the head of the City's Law Department. In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.

Opinion of Counsel for 2011 Annual Report Page 2 June 29, 2012

Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City and familiar with the requirements of the Act, have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area, and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Housing and Economic Development, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management (collectively, the "City Departments"), have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours

Stephen R. Patton Corporation Counsel

## SCHEDULE 1

(Exception Schedule)

- (X) No Exceptions
- ( ) Note the following Exceptions:

#### ATTACHMENTS D, E and F

#### **ATTACHMENT D**

#### **Activities Statement**

Projects that were implemented during the preceding fiscal year, if any, are set forth below:

#### None

Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the preceding fiscal year, if any, have been made pursuant to: (i) the Redevlopment Plan for the Project Area, and (ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth in Section 3 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

#### ATTACHMENT E

#### Agreements

Agreements entered into concerning the disposition or redevelopment of property within the Project Area during the preceding fiscal year, if any, are attached hereto.

None

#### **ATTACHMENT F**

#### Additional Information

The amounts shown elsewhere in this report, including those shown in Section 3 herein, have been used to pay for project cost within the Project Area and for debt service (if applicable), all in furtherance of the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area.

## ATTACHMENT K

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
ROOSEVELT/CICERO
REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

**FINANCIAL REPORT** 

DECEMBER 31, 2011

## <u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

## CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	1-2
Management's discussion and analysis Statement of net assets and governmental fund balance sheet Statement of activities and governmental fund revenues,	3-5 6
expenditures and changes in fund balance Notes to financial statements	7 8-10
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of expenditures by statutory code	11

### BANSLEY AND KIENER, L.L.P.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
O'HARE PLAZA

8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD, SUITE 200
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631
AREA CODE 312 263.2700

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Rahm Emanuel, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City of Chicago's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2011, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2011, and the changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 3 through 5 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The schedule of expenditures by statutory code on page 11 is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of the City of Chicago's management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Cartified Public Accountants

June 12, 2012

#### <u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED)

As management of the Roosevelt/Cicero Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project), we offer the readers of the Project's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the Project's financial performance for the year ended December 31, 2011. Please read it in conjunction with the Project's financial statements, which follow this section.

#### Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Project's basic financial statements. The Project's basic financial statements include three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) governmental fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information concerning the Project's expenditures by statutory code.

#### Basic Financial Statements

The basic financial statements include two kinds of financial statements that present different views of the Project – the *Government-Wide Financial Statements* and the *Governmental Fund Financial Statements*. These financial statements also include the notes to the financial statements that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detail.

#### Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements provide both long-term and short-term information about the Project's financial status and use accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The statement of net assets includes all of the project's assets and liabilities. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the statement of activities regardless of when cash is received or paid. The two government-wide statements report the Project's net assets and how they have changed. Net assets – the difference between the Project's assets and liabilities – is one way to measure the Project's financial health, or position.

#### Governmental Fund Financial Statements

The governmental fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the Project's significant funds – not the Project as a whole. Governmental funds focus on: 1) how cash and other financial assets can readily be converted to cash flows and 2) the year-end balances that are available for spending. Consequently, the governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps determine whether there are more financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the Project. Because this information does not encompass the additional long-term focus of the government-wide statements, we provide additional information at the bottom of the statements to explain the relationship (or differences) between them.

#### <u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

#### Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and governmental fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements follow the basic financial statements.

Other Supplementary Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents a schedule of expenditures by statutory code. This supplementary information follows the notes to the financial statements.

Condensed Comparative Financial Statements

The condensed comparative financial statements are presented on the following page.

Analysis of Overall Financial Position and Results of Operations

Property tax revenue for the Project was \$3,057,859 for the year. This was an increase of 55 percent over the prior year. The change in net assets (including other financing uses) produced an increase in net assets of \$663,130. The Project's net assets increased by 5 percent from the prior year making available \$13,093,182 (net of surplus distribution) of funding to be provided for purposes of future redevelopment in the Project's designated area. Revenues increased this year due to the Project's economic growth and accordingly increasing the total equalized assessed value of parcels and subsequent tax increment and related collections.

### <u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> <u>ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT</u>

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED) (Concluded)

#### Government-Wide

	2011	2010	Change	% Change
Total assets	\$ 14,717,595	\$ 14,086,208	\$ 631,387	4%
Total liabilities	96,413	128,156	(31,743)	-25%
Total net assets	\$14,621,182	\$ 13,958,052	\$ 663,130	5%
Total revenues	\$ 3,088,411	\$ 1,986,229	\$ 1,102,182	55%
Total expenses	425,281	293,854	131,427	45%
Other financing uses	2,000,000	_	2,000,000	100%
Changes in net assets	663,130	1,692,375	(1,029,245)	-61%
Ending net assets	\$ 14,621,182	\$ 13,958,052	\$ 663,130	5%

#### <u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> <u>ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT</u>

# STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 2011

<u>ASSETS</u>	Governmental Fund	Adjustments	Statement of Net Assets
Cash and investments	\$ 12,008,251	\$ -	\$ 12,008,251
Property taxes receivable	2,679,200	-	2,679,200
Accrued interest receivable	30,144		30,144
Total assets	\$ 14,717,595	\$ -	\$ 14,717,595
<u>LIABILITIES</u>			
Vouchers payable	\$ 44,290	\$ -	\$ 44,290
Due to other City funds	52,123	-	52,123
Deferred revenue	2,430,018	(2,430,018)	
Total liabilities	2,526,431	(2,430,018)	96,413
FUND BALANCE/NET ASSETS			
Fund balance: Committed for surplus distribution (Note 2) Committed for future redevelopment	1,528,000	(1,528,000)	-
project costs	10,663,164	(10,663,164)	
Total fund balance	12,191,164	(12,191,164)	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 14,717,595		
Net assets: Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) Restricted for future redevelopment		1,528,000	1,528,000
project costs		13,093,182	13,093,182
Total net assets		\$ 14,621,182	\$14,621,182
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net	assets are differen	t because:	
Total fund balance - governmental fund			\$ 12,191,164
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied ra			0.400.040
"available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not	avallable.		2,430,018
Total net assets - governmental activities			\$14,621,182

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

### <u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> <u>ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT</u>

# STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

	Governmental Fund	Adjustments	Statement of Activities
Revenues: Property tax Interest	\$ 2,616,509 30,552	\$ 441,350 	\$ 3,057,859 30,552
Total revenues	2,647,061	441,350	3,088,411
Expenditures/expenses: Economic development projects	425,281	_	425,281
Excess of revenues over expenditures	2,221,780	441,350	2,663,130
Other financing uses: Surplus distribution (Note 2)	(2,000,000)		(2,000,000)
Excess of revenues over expenditures and other financing uses	221,780	(221,780)	-
Change in net assets	-	663,130	663,130
Fund balance/net assets:  Beginning of year	11,969,384	1,988,668	13,958,052
End of year	\$12,191,164	\$ 2,430,018	\$14,621,182
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of a	ctivities are differe	ent because:	
Net change in fund balance - governmental fund			\$ 221,780
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is n			441,350
Change in net assets - governmental activities			\$ 663,130

## CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### (a) Reporting Entity

In February 1998, the City of Chicago (City) established the Roosevelt/Cicero Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project). The area has been established to finance improvements, leverage private investment and create and retain jobs. The Project is accounted for within the special revenue funds of the City.

#### (b) Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The accompanying financial statements of the Project have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). Effective January 2011, GASB Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, was adopted to enhance the usefulness of fund balance information by providing clearer fund balance classifications that can be more consistently applied, by eliminating the reserve component in favor of a restricted classification and by clarifying existing governmental fund type definitions. The "committed fund balance" classification is utilized where amounts are constrained to specific purposes by the City itself, using the highest level of decision-making authority or City Council Ordinance.

Previously, GASB Statement No. 34 (as amended) was implemented and included the following presentation:

- A Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) section providing an analysis of the Project's overall financial position and results of operations.
- Government-wide financial statements prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the *accrual basis of accounting* for all the Project's activities.
- Fund financial statements, which focus on the Project's governmental funds *current* financial resources measurement focus.

#### (c) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statements Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied.

The governmental fund financial statements are prepared on the *modified accrual basis of accounting* with only current assets and liabilities included on the balance sheet. Under *the modified accrual basis of accounting*, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Property taxes are susceptible to accrual and recognized as a receivable in the year levied. Revenue recognition is deferred unless the taxes are received within 60 days subsequent to year-end. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in government-wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The City has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

#### <u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Concluded)

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

#### (d) Assets, Liabilities and Net Assets

#### Cash and Investments

Cash belonging to the City is generally deposited with the City Treasurer as required by the Municipal Code of Chicago. The City Comptroller issues warrants for authorized City expenditures which represent a claim for payment when presented to the City Treasurer. Payment for all City warrants clearing is made by checks drawn on the City's various operating bank accounts.

The City Treasurer and City Comptroller share responsibility for investing in authorized investments. Interest earned on pooled investments is allocated to participating funds based upon their average combined cash and investment balances.

The City values its investments at fair value or amortized cost. U.S. Government securities purchased at a price other than par with a maturity of less than one year are reported at amortized cost.

#### Capital Assets

Capital assets are not capitalized in the governmental fund but, instead, are charged as current expenditures when purchased. The Government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of changes in net assets) of the City includes the capital assets and related depreciation, if any, of the Project in which ownership of the capital asset will remain with the City (i.e. infrastructure, or municipal building). All other construction will be expensed in both the government-wide financial statements and the governmental fund as the City nor Project will retain the right of ownership.

#### (e) Stewardship, Compliance and Accountability

#### Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act Compliance

The Project's expenditures include reimbursements for various eligible costs as described in subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act and the Redevelopment Agreement relating specifically to the Project. Eligible costs include but are not limited to survey, property assembly, rehabilitation, public infrastructure, financing and relocation costs.

#### Reimbursements

Reimbursements, if any, are made to the developer for project costs, as public improvements are completed and pass City inspection.

## CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Concluded)

#### Note 2 - Surplus Distribution

In December 2010, the City declared a surplus within the fund balance of the Project in the amount of \$2,000,000. In June 2011, the surplus funds were sent to the Cook County Treasurer's Office to be redistributed to the various taxing agencies.

In December 2011, the City declared a surplus within the fund balance of the Project in the amount of \$1,528,000. In June 2012, the surplus funds were sent to the Cook County Treasurer's Office to be redistributed to the various taxing agencies.

#### Note 3 - Commitments

The City has pledged certain amounts solely from available excess incremental taxes to provide financial assistance to a developer under the terms of a redevelopment agreement for the purpose of paying costs of certain eligible redevelopment project costs.

As of December 31, 2011 the Project has entered into contracts for approximately \$128,000 for services and construction projects.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## <u>CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS</u> ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES BY STATUTORY CODE

## Code Description

Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan including but not limited to staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, marketing	\$ 54,620
Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction or repair or remodeling of existing public or private buildings and fixtures	117,975
Costs of the construction of public works or improvements	57,322
Costs of job training and retraining projects	195,364
	\$ 425,281



### BANSLEY AND KIENER, L.L.P.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

ESTABLISHED 1922

O'HARE PLAZA 8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD SUITE 200 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631 312.263.2700 FAX 312.263.6935 WWW.BK-CPA.COM

#### **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

The Honorable Rahm Emanuel, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the statement of net assets and governmental fund balance sheet of Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois as of December 31, 2011, and the related statement of activities and governmental fund revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, and have issued our report thereon dated June 12, 2012.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Project failed to comply with the regulatory provisions in Subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act and Subsection (o) of Section 11-74.6-10 of the Illinois Industrial Jobs Recovery Law as they relate to the eligibility for costs incurred incidental to the implementation of the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois.

This report is intended for the information of the City of Chicago's management. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Certified Public Accountants

June 12, 2012



#### INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENTS FY 2011

A list of all intergovernmental agreements in effect in FY 2011 to which the municipality is a part, and an accounting of any money transferred or received by the municipality during that fiscal year pursuant to those intergovernmental agreements. [65] ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (10)]

Name of Agreement	Description of Agreement	Amount Transferred Out	Amount Received	
None				

# Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area 2011 Annual Report

