CITY OF CHICAGO'S
REPORT ON 2022 CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT LITIGATION

Prepared by the City of Chicago Department of Law
November 2023

CITY OF CHICAGO 2022 CPD ANNUAL LITIGATION REPORT

I. INTRODUCTION

In 2019, the State of Illinois and the City of Chicago (City) entered into an agreement, known as a "Consent Decree." The Consent Decree is a negotiated settlement agreement, approved by a federal court, that requires the City, through the Chicago Police Department (CPD) and other City agencies, to institute a series of reforms designed to increase public trust and reduce crime through safe and effective constitutional policing practices. Specifically, the purpose of the Consent Decree is to ensure the following:

(1) that CPD delivers services to all people in a manner that complies with the Constitution and state and federal law, respects the rights of all, builds trust between officers and the communities they serve, and promotes community and officer safety; and

(2) that CPD officers receive the training, resources, and support needed to do their jobs professionally and safely; and

(3) that the City builds a foundation of trust through increased transparency and public input; improved accountability and oversight; and systems that collect, analyze and share data.

As part of these reforms, and pursuant to the Consent Decree, the City is required to produce and publish this report, "CPD Annual Litigation Report" (Report) annually to inform the public about lawsuits against the City raising allegations of civil rights violations by CPD members or injuries due to a vehicle pursuit by a CPD member. Paragraph 548 of the Consent Decree identifies the types of cases required to be reported, the specific data points that must be included in the report and the parameters for determining when a case has been concluded for purposes of reporting. The report includes lawsuits resolved in the prior calendar year, either through a financial settlement between the parties ("Settled Cases"), or concluded by a final order of the Court following a trial, motion, or other litigation ("Litigated Cases").[1] The 2022 Litigation Report includes cases that were resolved in 2022,

[1] It is important to note that cases pending but not concluded in 2022 are not included in this Report. Paragraph 548 of the Consent Decree requires, in part, that the City disclose a list of all civil lawsuits in which: a plaintiff sought to hold the City responsible for the conduct of one or more current or former CPD officers; the case was handled either by the Department of Law's (the DOL) Federal Civil Rights Division (FCRL) or by the DOL's Torts Division if the complaint sought relief associated with a vehicle pursuit; and, any of the following occurred in the prior year: (1) the case was concluded by final order and all opportunities for appellate review were exhausted; (2) a judgment for the case was satisfied; or (3) the case was settled, and the settlement approved when required by the City Council. *See* Consent Decree Paragraphs 548(a) and (c). Therefore, active or pending cases are excluded from this Report and not considered in the analysis.

either by settlement or litigation, where all remedies on appeal were exhausted or the case was no longer subject to refiling. The 2022 Litigation Report does not include cases filed, settled, dismissed, or awarded damages in 2022, if remedies on appeal existed, the case was subject to refiling, or the settlement was not approved by City Council.[2] All cases meeting the requirements delineated in paragraph 548 are required to be reported regardless of the merits of the case. The cases meeting the requirements set forth in paragraph 548 and included in this report are referred to as "reportable cases."

II. LAWSUITS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED UNDER CONSENT DECREE PARAGRAPH 548

The Consent Decree requires that the City report out all civil lawsuits in which a plaintiff or plaintiffs sought "to hold the City responsible for the conduct of one or more current or former CPD members" that were either (1) handled by the Federal Civil Rights Litigation (FCRL) Division of the Department of Law (DOL) (or outside counsel working on behalf of FCRL), or (2) handled by the Torts Division of DOL (or outside counsel working on behalf of the Torts Division) where the matter involved a vehicle pursuit. (*See* Consent Decree paragraph 548).

A. Cases handled by the FCRL Division

The Federal Civil Rights Litigation (FCRL) Division of the Department of Law defends individual City employees as well as the City as a party in federal civil cases brought by individuals under 42 USC §1983 as well as similar claims brought under Illinois state law in the Circuit Court of Cook County. The vast majority of cases handled by FCRL involve claims against individual current or former members of CPD and the City for the actions of current or former members of CPD that are brought in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois under 42 USC §1983.

Under §1983, "[e]very person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State . . ., subjects, or causes to be subjected, any . . . person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law . . ." In addition to an award of monetary damages, prevailing plaintiffs are entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and other costs. 42 USC §1988(b); Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 54 (d)(1). Accordingly, for cases brought in federal court under §1983, the City may be liable for compensatory damages, costs, and attorneys' fees if the plaintiff prevails at trial.

[2] By ordinance, all settlements over $100,000 must be approved by City Council.

Additionally, an individual defendant officer may also be liable for punitive damages where a plaintiff prevails at trial and proves that the officer's conduct was malicious or in reckless disregard of the plaintiff's rights. Punitive damages cannot be assessed against the City and must be assessed against an individual. Additionally, under Illinois law, the City, as the indemnitor for its employees or agents must pay the compensatory damages for conduct occurring within the scope of employment but the City cannot pay for any punitive damages.

Typical claims under §1983 are those that allege violations of the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution such as arrest without probable cause (false arrest), search or seizure without probable cause (unlawful search and seizure), use of unreasonable force (excessive force), and detention while awaiting trial without probable cause (unlawful pretrial detention). Claims under §1983 can also include alleged violations of the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution resulting in the prosecution and conviction of an individual in an instance where later the conviction was reversed or overturned. In addition to claims of constitutional violations brought against individual CPD members, many cases also raise § 1983 claims against the City of Chicago under *Monell v. Department of Social Services of City of New York,* 436 U.S. 658 (1978). Under *Monell*, a local government may be liable under § 1983 when the execution of a government's policy or custom inflicted a constitutional injury. *Id.* at 694.

As well as cases brought in federal court pursuant to § 1983, FCRL also handles cases brought in the Circuit Court of Cook County for claims alleging willful and wanton conduct under Illinois law. These claims include wrongful death, battery, malicious prosecution, and intentional infliction of emotional distress.

B. Cases Handled by the Torts Division

DOL's Torts Division handles a variety of civil lawsuits filed in the Circuit Court of Cook County that allege state law claims. These lawsuits allege that the City or its agents caused physical injury, wrongful death, or financial harm. Relevant to the Consent Decree and this report, Torts handles cases alleging that CPD members acted in a willful and wanton manner and caused personal injury or wrongful death related to vehicle pursuits by CPD officers.

III. LITIGATION REPORT – CASE DATA

The case data for this report was compiled by attorneys in DOL's FCRL and Torts Divisions, as well as its Appeals Division, which handles FRCL and Torts appeals. This data was also supplemented by the review of court filings, court dockets, court orders, and administrative proceeding records.

A. Overall Case Information and Type

In calendar year 2022, the City settled or litigated to a final order 176 reportable cases. For purposes of data analysis within this report, the reportable cases have been categorized by "type." These case types are broad and generalized and were determined by reviewing the factual and legal allegations contained in the complaints. Most cases raise factual and legal claims that encompass more than one of the designated case types; however, the cases were categorized into the single type that best represents the primary underlying basis for the lawsuit. The case types are described as follows:

1. ***Use of Force***:

   This case type covers allegations of unwarranted physical contact through the application of physical force. The alleged physical contact ranged from minor contact to fatal officer-involved shootings. This case type involves legal claims of battery or wrongful death brought under Illinois state law in the Circuit Court of Cook County as well as claims of excessive force under the Fourth Amendment of the Constitution, brought in federal court under § 1983 of the Civil Rights Act.

2. ***Reversed Conviction***:

   This case type covers allegations where the plaintiff was arrested, prosecuted, found guilty, sentenced, and subsequently the conviction was either reversed, vacated, or otherwise overturned. This case type involves cases brought in federal court under § 1983 of the Civil Rights Act. The legal claims raised within this case type typically involve alleged Due Process violations based upon allegations such as fabricated evidence or coerced confessions.

3. ***Vehicle Pursuit***:

   This case type covers cases brought under Illinois state law in the Circuit Court of Cook County alleging that CPD officers were willful and wanton in conducting a vehicle pursuit that resulted in a crash causing personal injury or death.

4. ***Unlawful Pretrial Detention***:

   This case type covers cases in federal court brought under § 1983 where the plaintiff was arrested, charged, prosecuted, and either found not guilty or the charges were otherwise dismissed. The legal allegation in this case type is that the detention after arrest until the finding of not guilty or dismissal was without probable cause and therefore in violation of the Fourth Amendment.

5. ***Malicious Prosecution***:

This case type covers cases brought under Illinois state law. The factual and legal allegations within this case type are that an individual was prosecuted without probable cause and the prosecution ended in a favorable termination indicative of the plaintiff's innocence.

6. ***False Arrest***:[3]

This case type generally involves factual and legal allegations that an individual was stopped or detained without reasonable articulable suspicion or arrested without probable cause. This case type involves claims alleging a violation of the Fourth Amendment brought in federal court under § 1983.

7. ***Unlawful Search or Seizure***:

This case type generally involves factual and legal allegations that a search was conducted and/or property seized without probable cause in violation of the Fourth Amendment. This case type involves claims brought in federal court under § 1983.

8. ***Other***:

Despite the above general and broad case types, there remained 13 cases that did not fall into any of the above types. For purposes of this Report, these cases are described as follows:

a. Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress under Illinois law
b. Vague *pro se* claims under § 1983
c. Harassment
d. Failure to Police
e. Deprivation or Conversion of Property (2)
f. Contributory Negligence in wrongful death (2)
g. Denial of Medical Care
h. Fifth Amendment Violation[4]
i. Miscellaneous Due Process claims (2)
j. Miscellaneous allegations that an individual was surveilled, berated, and had social media accounts hacked

Based upon the above definitions, the 176 reportable cases have been categorized by type. **Figure 1** below shows the breakdown of the 176 reportable cases by type.

[3] The False Arrest and Unlawful Search or Seizure case types present the greatest overlap and the least amount of distinction.

[4] Plaintiff alleged that defendant officers coerced him into making statements that were subsequently used against him in a criminal prosecution.

Figure 1 – 2022 Reportable Cases by Case Type

Case Type	Number of Cases
Use of Force	69
False Arrest	30
Unlawful Search or Seizure	23
Unlawful Pretrial Detention	16
Reversed Conviction	9
Malicious Prosecution	7
Vehicle Pursuit	9
Other	13
TOTAL	**176**

As noted above, § 1983 allows for claims against a municipality or local government for an alleged constitutional violation under *Monell.* However, where the alleged constitutional injury is a violation of the Fourth Amendment, there must be an actual violation of the Fourth Amendment by an individual employee or agent of the local government before there can be any § 1983 liability against the local government under *Monell. See City of Los Angeles v. Heller*, 475 U.S. 796, 799 (1986). "[A] governmental entity cannot passively commit a Fourth Amendment violation. . . For liability to attach [to a local government], there must be an unreasonable search or seizure, not just negligence or a failure to choose the best option." *King v. Hendricks County Commissioners*, 954 F.3d 981, 987 (7th Cir. 2020). Because an underlying Fourth Amendment violation is required for a claim against the City under *Monell*, no separate case type for *Monell* has been utilized in this report. Instead, the City has identified the reportable cases which included *Monell* claims against the City. Forty of the 176 reportable cases for 2022 included *Monell* claims against the City. **Figure 2** below also includes a breakdown of *Monell* claims by case types.

Figure 2 – *Monell* claims by case type

Case Type	Number of Cases with ***Monell*** **Claims**
Use of Force	17
Reversed Conviction	6
Unlawful Search or Seizure	8
False Arrest	5
Unlawful Pretrial Detention	1
Other	3
TOTAL	**40**

Finally, of the 176 reportable cases for calendar year 2022, the City settled 107 cases and litigated 69 to a final order. A list of Settled Cases with the required data points is attached to this Report as Appendix A. A list of Litigated Cases with the required data points is attached to this Report as Appendix B. The status of any administrative investigation related to the settled and litigated cases is included as a data point in Appendix A and Appendix B. The sections below provide further analysis and information on the Settled and Litigated cases.

B. <u>Total Payouts Incurred by the City in 2022</u>

"Payouts" by the City refers to the total amount of all settlements and judgments incurred by the City in 2022. Of the 176 reportable cases, the City incurred a payout in 115 cases, representing 65.3% of all reportable cases. The payouts were the result of the 107 settled cases as well as the 8 litigated cases that resulted in judgments against the City. The total amount of all payouts by the City in 2022 was **$86,289,703.35.** These payouts are further broken down by case type in **Figure 3** below.

Figure 3 – Payouts by Case Type

CASE TYPE	NUMBER OF CASES	TOTAL AMOUNT	% OF TOTAL PAYOUT AMOUNT
Reversed Conviction	7 cases	$44,157,500	51.2%
Use of Force	55 cases	$19,734,203.35	22.9%
Vehicle Pursuit	5 cases	$19,384,000	22.5%
Unlawful Search and Seizure	16 cases	$1,174,000	1.4%
False Arrest	18 cases	$562,000	0.65%
Unlawful Pretrial Detention	10 cases	$904,500	1.0%
Malicious Prosecution	1 case	$75,000	0.09%
Misc / other	3 cases	$298,500	0.35%
	115 cases	**$86,289,703.35**	

Reversed Conviction, Use of Force, and Vehicle Pursuit cases accounted for approximately 96% of all payouts incurred by the City in 2022. Both the lowest and the highest payout amounts were the result of settlements. The lowest payout amount was $3,000.00 to settle a False Arrest case[5]. The highest payout amount was $15,000,000 to settle a vehicle pursuit case that resulted in a fatality[6]. The settled and litigated cases are each analyzed in more detail in the sections below.

C. Settled Cases

In 2022, the City resolved 107 cases by way of settlement. This represents approximately 61% of all reportable cases for 2022. The total amount in settlements by the City in 2022 was **$81,332,845.16**. Settlements accounted for 94.3% of the total payouts by the City. As noted above, the lowest settlement amount was $3,000.00 for a False Arrest case and the highest settlement amount was $15,000,000.00 for a Vehicle Pursuit case that resulted in a fatality. The settlements are further broken down by case type in **Figure 4** below.

Figure 4 – Settlements by Case Type

CASE TYPE	NUMBER OF CASES	AMOUNT	% OF TOTAL SETTLEMENT AMOUNT
Reversed Conviction	7	$44,157,500	54.3%
Use of Force	50	$17,927,845.16	22%
Vehicle Pursuit	4	$17,009,000	20.9%
Unlawful Pretrial Detention	10	$904,500	1.1%
False Arrest	18	$562,000	0.69%
Unlawful Search or Seizure	14	$398,500	0.49%
Malicious Prosecution	1	$75,000	0.09%
Misc / other	3	$298,500	0.37%
TOTAL	**107**	**$81,332,845.16**	

As shown above, Reversed Conviction, Use of Force, and Vehicle Pursuit cases accounted for approximately 97% of the total amount of settlements by the City in 2022. Further examination of the Reversed Conviction, Use of Force, and Vehicle Pursuit cases settled in 2022 reveals the following:

[5] *Parrius Clinton v. City of Chicago* (22 cv 2528). In *Clinton*, the plaintiff alleged that he was stopped for no reason, subjected to a pat down for no reason, and arrested after a gun was recovered.

[6] *Beanell Alvarez for the Estate of Guadalupe Franco-Martinez v. City of Chicago* (20 L 6251). In *Alvarez*, Guadalupe Franco – Martinez, a mother of 6 children, was killed in a vehicle pursuit-related traffic crash.

- Within the Reversed Conviction cases, the seven settlements ranged in amount from $42,500.00 to $14,250,000.00[7]. This difference in amounts is likely due, in part, to the difference in periods of incarceration prior to reversal of the convictions.
- Within the Use of Force cases, the 50 settlements ranged in amount from $5,000.00 in four different cases[8] to $5,000,000.00[9] in a fatal officer-involved shooting matter.

- Within the Vehicle Pursuit cases, the four settlements ranged in amount from $9,000.00 for a vehicle pursuit that resulted in personal injury[10] to $15,000,000.00 for a vehicle pursuit that resulted in a fatality[11].

D. Litigated Cases

In 2022, 69 of the 176 reportable cases were litigated to a final order, reflecting 39.2% of all reportable cases.

1. Cases Litigated in City's Favor

Sixty-one of the 69 cases litigated to a final order in 2022 resulted in dismissals or judgments in the City's favor, either through motions to dismiss, motions for summary judgment, or following a jury trial. These 61 litigated cases can be further broken down as shown in **Figure 5** below.

[7] Among the Reversed Conviction cases, the incarceration ranged from relatively short terms for possession of narcotics or possession of a firearm to lengthy sentences in the Illinois Department of Corrections for First Degree Murder. In *Carswell v. Lynch, et al.* (21 cv 6736), which was settled for $42,500, the plaintiff was tried and convicted for the illegal possession of a firearm and sentenced to 42 months in the Illinois Department of Corrections. Plaintiff's conviction was overturned approximately two (2) years after he was found guilty. In *Taylor v. City of Chicago, et al.* (14 cv 0737), which was settled for $14,250,000, the plaintiff was charged with First Degree Murder, found guilty at trial in April 1998, and sentenced to 35 years in the Illinois Department of Corrections. Plaintiff was subsequently granted a new trial and on May 13, 2015, plaintiff was found not guilty and released from the Illinois Department of Corrections after approximately 19 years in both pre-trial and post-conviction custody.

[8] In *Hollis v. City of Chicago, et al* (21 cv 1956), the plaintiff alleged that he was punched in the face while handcuffed. In *James v. City of Chicago* (11 cv 4418), the plaintiff alleged that he was kicked struck while handcuffed. In *King v. Bishop* (19 cv 7253), the *pro se* plaintiff alleged that he was taken down to the ground and punched in the face. In *Stewart v. Kinney* (20 cv 3368), the *pro se* plaintiff alleged that he was held down, handcuffed, and elbowed in the face.

[9] In *Martin v. City of Chicago, et al.* (19 cv 4130), the plaintiff alleged claims of excessive force, failure to intervene, and *Monell*, following the fatal officer-involved shooting of her son.

[10] *Smothers v. City of Chicago, et al.* (21 L 907).

[11] *Beanell Alvarez for the Estate of Guadalupe Franco-Martinez v. City of Chicago* (20 L 6251).

Figure 5 – Dismissals or City Judgments by Case Type

Dismissals or Judgments in the City's Favor	Case types	Number of cases
Dismissal (52)	Use of Force	10
	False Arrest	11
	Unlawful Pretrial Detention	4
	Unlawful Search or Seizure	7
	Malicious Prosecution	4
	Vehicle Pursuit	4
	Reversed Conviction	2
	Other	10
Summary Judgment (6)	Use of Force	2
	False Arrest	2
	Unlawful Pretrial Detention	1
	Malicious Prosecution	1
Jury Verdicts or Post-Trial Judgments (3)	Use of Force	2
	Malicious Prosecution	1
TOTAL DISMISSALS OR JUDGMENTS FOR CITY		**61**

Regarding the 52 dismissals for the City, they can be further detailed as follows:

- 52 – Dismissals[12]
    - 17 Motions to Dismiss granted with prejudice;
    - 2 Motions to Dismiss granted without prejudice;
    - 20 Voluntary dismissals (no settlement);
    - 9 Dismissals for Want of Prosecution;
    - 4 Other dismissals by the Court.

2. Cases Litigated in Plaintiffs' Favor

The remaining eight litigated cases resulted in verdicts and or judgments in favor of the plaintiffs and against the City, either after jury trial or by the acceptance of an offer of judgment against the City. In total, these eight judgments amounted to $4,956,858.19 in compensatory damages, fees,

[12] 1 of the 2 Motions to Dismiss granted without prejudice in Federal Court was subsequently refiled in State Court. 2 Voluntary Dismissals in Federal Court were subsequently refiled as new cases in State Court. 1 of the Voluntary Dismissals in State Court in 2022 was subsequently refiled in State Court in 2023. Based upon the reporting requirements under the Consent Decree, the dismissals in Federal Court are reported in the 2022 Report and the State Court cases will be reported when they are concluded.

and costs awarded against the City. This amount represents 5.7% of the total payouts by the City for 2022.

(a.) Offers of judgment accepted against the City:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 68 allows a party defending a claim to serve on the opposing party an offer "to allow judgment on specified terms, with the costs then accrued." Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 68(a). The offer must be made at least 14 days before the date set for trial and must be accepted within 14 days of being served. *Id.* If the offer is not accepted and the "judgment that the offeree finally obtains is not more favorable than the unaccepted offer, the offeree must pay the costs incurred after the offer was made." Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 68(d). In other words, if the City makes an offer of judgment to a plaintiff that is not accepted, and the plaintiff is awarded a lower amount of damages than was offered by the City, the plaintiff (not the City) is responsible for their own costs incurred after the offer was made.

In 2022, six cases were resolved by acceptance of an offer of judgment against the City, for a total amount of **$1,820,500,** which amounts to 2.1% of the total payouts by the City. **Figure 6** below provides more detail about the offers of judgment and corresponding case types.

Figure 6 – Accepted Offers of Judgment by Case Type

Case name	Case number	Case type	OJ Amount
Nazario, et al., v. City of Chicago, et al.[13]	12 cv 4804	Use of Force	$345,000
Tate, et al., v. City of Chicago, et al.,	19 cv 7506	Unlawful search or seizure	$325,500
Fix v. City of Chicago, et al.,	21 cv 2843	Use of Force	$200,000
Chinchilla v. City of Chicago, et al.,	21 cv 2846	Use of Force	$150,000
Evans, et al., v. City of Chicago, et al.,	21 cv 4135	Unlawful search or seizure	$450,000
Walls v. City of Chicago	19 cv 6468	Use of Force	$350,000
		TOTAL Offers of Judgment Accepted Against the City:	**$1,820,500**

[13] *Nazario, et al., v. City, et al.*, involved five plaintiffs. In 2020, three of the plaintiffs (Gomez, Mercado, and Rodriguez) accepted an offer of judgment against the City in the total amount of $145,000. The case was not completely resolved and that payout by the City was not reported in 2020. In 2022, the remaining two plaintiffs (Nazario and Castrejon) accepted an offer of judgment against the City in the total amount of $200,000. The entire payout of $345,000 is being reported in the 2022 report as the case is now completely resolved.

(b.) Trial judgments against the City:

In 2022, the City had two reportable judgments against it as the result of jury verdicts. The two jury verdicts against the City were both cases brought under Illinois state law in the Circuit Court of Cook County. Both cases involved fatalities – one as an officer-involved shooting (*Davilla*) and one as a vehicle pursuit and traffic crash (*Bellemy*). These jury verdicts against the City amounted to awards of damages and costs totaling $3,136,358.19, representing 3.6% of all payouts against the City. Details about these trial judgments are contained in **Figure 7** below.

Figure 7 – Jury Verdicts and Judgments Against the City

Case name	Case number	Case type	Damages, fees and costs awarded
Esparanza Davilla v. City of Chicago	18 L 11384	Use of Force	$761,358.19 ($742,500 compensatory damages / $18,858.19 fees and costs)
Karen Bellemy, Independent Administrator of the Estate of Olivia Gross, Deceased v. City of Chicago and John Kennedy	18 L 8726	Vehicle pursuit	$2,375,000
		TOTAL Trial Judgments and Costs paid by City:	**$3,136,358.19**

3. Total Reportable Jury Trials

In 2022, the City had a total of five reportable cases that concluded by way of jury trial. Two of the five resulted in jury verdicts for the City, and two of the five resulted in verdicts and judgments against the City. The fifth case was tried in 2020, resulted in a verdict against the City that was set aside by the trial court, and was subsequently affirmed on appeal in 2022. Further details about the total reportable jury trials for 2022 are contained in **Figure 8** below.

Figure 8 – Total Jury Trials[14]

Case Name	Case Number	Case Type	Result
Herrera v. Fuentes	17 cv 8839	Use of Force	Verdict for all City Defendants
James Watson v. City of Chicago, et al.	15 cv 11559	Use of Force	Verdict for all City Defendants
Esparanza Davilla v. City of Chicago	18 L 11384	Use of Force	Verdict for Plaintiff
Karen Bellemy, Independent Administrator of the Estate of Olivia Gross, Deceased v. City of Chicago and John Kennedy	18 L 8726	Vehicle pursuit	Verdict for Plaintiff
Tony Holt v. City of Chicago	17 L 8666	Malicious Prosecution	Verdict for Plaintiff and damages awarded in the amount of $6.4 million on February 21, 2020. City's Motion for Directed Verdict granted by the trial court on February 21, 2020. Trial Court ruling Affirmed on Appeal June 30, 2022.

IV. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS OF PARAGRAPH 548

In addition to the specific case information required to be disclosed for the settled and litigated cases, paragraph 548 of the Consent Decree requires the City to disclose the following:

1. The amount of attorneys' fees paid in the reporting year to outside counsel engaged in defending the City or its employees or agents in civil rights and vehicle pursuit-related litigation

According to DOL, in 2022 the City paid outside counsel $19,900,896.32 for legal services to defend active, pending, and concluded federal civil rights cases that would otherwise be handled by

[14] In addition to the four reportable jury trials in 2022, the City tried three additional cases to jury verdicts that do not meet the requirements to be reported in 2022. The City prevailed in two of those three cases. The two cases which the City prevailed on were both Use of Force cases and the verdicts have been appealed. The third case, a Reversed Conviction case, resulted in a verdict for the plaintiff. That case has pending post-trial motions.

FCRL.[15] During the same period, the City paid outside counsel $233,455.47 to defend any wrongful death or personal injury cases that would otherwise be handled by Torts that involved a vehicle pursuit. It must be noted that these amounts represent the total amount of outside counsel costs to defend the City and its employees or agents for the calendar year 2022 for all cases handled in FCRL and Torts. These amounts include costs related to cases that are not reported in the current Report as they are still pending and have not yet reached a final order. They may also include costs related to cases handled by FCRL and Torts that do not involve the conduct of any CPD members but instead involve allegations against employees of other City departments.

2. The number of pending civil suits against the City for alleged civil rights violations and vehicle pursuit-related traffic crashes

As of February 23, 2023, there were 481 pending lawsuits that involve reportable allegations of civil rights violations. Additionally, as of December 31, 2022, there were 56 pending lawsuits that involve a vehicle pursuit-related traffic crash.

3. The disposition of any felony prosecutions of current or former CPD members related to the events at issue in the reported litigation

In 2022 one felony prosecution of a current or former CPD member related to a reportable case was resolved. Former CPD Officer Kevin Bunge plead guilty to Aggravated Discharge of a Firearm and received 30 months felony probation in Cook County Criminal case number 21 CR 5792 on September 14, 2022, for the events at issue in *Carreto, et al., v. City of Chicago, et al.*, 21-cv-735. *Carreto* was a Use of Force case that was settled by the City in 2022 for $1,200,000.00.

4. The status of any related administrative investigations

The status of any related administrative investigation is detailed in the tables of settled and litigated cases attached to this Report as Appendices A and B and discussed below in Section V of this Report.

V. STATUS OF ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS

Consent Decree paragraph 548(f) requires the City to report the status of administrative investigations conducted by the Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA), CPD's Bureau of Internal Affairs (BIA), or the City's Office of the Inspector General (OIG), of any officers named as

[15] It is important to note that Consent Decree Paragraph 548 requires the City to report the aggregate amount of attorneys' fees paid to outside counsel, regardless of the status of the case. Therefore, the amounts cited in this Report include fees invoiced for active and pending cases in addition to the concluded cases listed in the appendices of this Report.

defendants in any of the reportable lawsuits. The specific administrative investigations relevant to this Report are investigations related to the incidents at issue in the reported lawsuits.

The status of related administrative investigations is included in the Settled Cases data in Appendix A and the Litigated Cases data in Appendix B. The following sections provide information regarding certain requirements for administrative investigations and the terms used by the City to report the status of any related investigations.

A. Investigative Agencies

COPA is an independent agency established by ordinance in 2016. COPA is responsible for conducting administrative investigations into all discharges of a firearm by a CPD member; all stun gun and taser deployments which result in death or serious bodily injury; incidents where a person dies or sustains a serious bodily injury while in CPD custody or during an attempt to apprehend a suspect; and any officer-involved death. COPA also has jurisdiction to investigate allegations of domestic violence, excessive force, coercion, verbal abuse, improper search or seizure, or the unlawful denial of access to counsel.

BIA investigates allegations of misconduct against CPD officers where COPA does not have jurisdiction, when COPA refers the case to CPD for investigation, or when the investigation involves criminal allegations. Investigations by BIA include cases involving alleged criminal misconduct, operational violations, illegal searches, theft of money or property, planting of drugs, substance abuse, residency violations, and medical roll abuse.

The City's OIG is authorized to conduct both criminal and administrative investigations of allegations of corruption, misconduct, waste, or substandard performance by governmental officers, including members of CPD.

B. Investigative Process

Administrative investigations of CPD members are initiated either through a complaint submitted by a member of the public or by CPD notifying COPA of a critical incident within COPA's jurisdiction. In cases where the complaint was submitted by a member of the public, certain procedures may apply. For example, in certain cases both COPA and BIA may be required to obtain a sworn affidavit from the complainant, certifying that the allegations are true and correct. The sworn affidavit requirement may apply even where the complainant has filed a civil lawsuit against the City.

In certain circumstances, COPA and BIA may investigate cases without obtaining a sworn affidavit by obtaining an affidavit override or under certain exceptions to the affidavit requirement.

When COPA or BIA complete an administrative investigation, the investigative agency may make certain findings. For example, in cases involving a use of force, where there are no other allegations being investigated, the agency may conclude that the incident was within Department policy where there is clear and convincing evidence showing that the officer's conduct was objectively reasonable based on the totality of circumstances. In cases where there are allegations of misconduct, the agency will conclude that an allegation is sustained, not sustained, exonerated, or unfounded.

Allegations are ***sustained*** when they are supported by sufficient evidence to justify disciplinary action. Allegations are ***not sustained*** when they are not supported by sufficient evidence that could be used to prove or disprove the allegation. The subject of an investigation is ***exonerated*** when the actions taken by the officer were deemed reasonable based on a totality of the circumstances or were otherwise lawful. Finally, allegations are ***unfounded*** when they are either not based on the facts as revealed through the investigation or the reported incident did not occur.

Under certain circumstances, cases may be closed without a finding of sustained, not sustained, exonerated, or unfounded. These are typically designated with the status "closed / no finding." The designation status of "closed / no finding" typically includes a further status reason following the "closed / no finding" designation. The following designations are current as of January 2022.[16]

[16] The following additional status reasons were previously used but have been phased out or otherwise eliminated:
Closed – Administrative Termination*:* this denotes a closed case in which, after a truncated investigation, there was insufficient evidence to reach a finding of exonerated, sustained, not sustained, or unfounded. This designation is not permitted in cases which involve the discharge of a firearm; physical violence, threat of physical violence or involve parties that historically had been alleged to have committed or threatened physical violence; a use of force resulting in serious bodily harm or injury; verbal abuse rising to the level of racial bias; or any incident in which video or audio evidence existed that depicted and corroborated the allegations.
Closed – No Conversion: these denote closed cases where, after making good faith efforts to do so, the investigating agency was unable to obtain a sworn affidavit from a complainant or other party certifying that the allegations made were true and correct, or the investigating agency's preliminary investigation did not result in sufficient objective verifiable evidence to support an affidavit override request.

1. ***Administratively Closed*:** these cases involve a truncated investigation that did not reach a finding of sustained, not sustained, exonerated, or unfounded where either (a) no complaint was made and a preliminary investigation did not reveal misconduct; (b) the preliminary investigation did not yield sufficient information to determine the appropriate entity for referral and COPA or BIA lacked jurisdiction to investigate or a conflict of interest existed; or (c)a complaint involved an allegation of misconduct occurring over five years before and, after preliminary investigative efforts, the investigating agency did not have "objective verifiable evidence" to continue the investigation. Effective February 1, 2023, the status reason "administrative closure" was replaced with two new status reasons: (1) closed – no allegations – insufficient objective evidence" and "closed no allegations – incident over five years ago."
2. ***Closed – No Affidavit***: this denotes closed cases where, after making good faith efforts to do so, the investigating agency was unable to obtain a sworn affidavit from a complainant or other party certifying that the allegations made were true and correct, or the investigating agency's preliminary investigation did not result in sufficient objective verifiable evidence to support an affidavit override request.
3. ***Closed – Hold Status***: denotes investigations on hold due to an ongoing criminal investigation, the separation of the CPD member from the Department before the conclusion of the investigation, or the unavailability of the accused officer.
4. ***Closed – Pending Civil Suit***: denotes a closure applied to reflect an investigation that has been discontinued relative to an accused Department member who is the subject of ongoing civil litigation. These closures are subject to reconsideration upon changed circumstances.
5. ***Closed – Referral for Non-Disciplinary Intervention***: denotes a closure following a referral to CPD's Non-Disciplinary Intervention Program[17].
6. ***Closed – Complainant Unknown***: denotes a closure where after a good faith effort no complainant was identified and was necessary to the investigation.

[17] The Non-disciplinary Intervention Program is designed to provide a more effective means of addressing incidents of verbal abuse and other eligible conduct. The program is non-disciplinary in nature and makes use of training, counseling, skills development, and other non-disciplinary intervention actions. The schedule of interventions is designed and intended to be the only consequence for an incident handled in this program. (Chicago Police Department Special Order S08-06).

7. ***Closed – Referred***: denotes a case closure by one investigative body based upon a jurisdictional referral to an alternate investigative body (for example, a preliminary investigation by COPA revealed that the allegations fall under BIA's jurisdiction).
8. ***Closed – Mediated***: denotes cases closed following mediation under the City's Community Police Mediation Pilot Program.
9. ***Closed – Within Policy***: as noted above this status reason is increasingly rare and denotes notification – based incidents where the preponderance of the evidence shows that the officer's conduct was objectively reasonable based on the totality of circumstances and there are no other allegations being investigated.
10. ***Closed – Non-disciplinary closure***: effective July 2023, this status reason denotes cases closed as part of COPA's Timeliness Initiative concerning certain investigations lasting in excess of 18 months.

Of the 176 reportable cases, 89 involved related administrative investigations by either COPA or BIA. The OIG had no reportable investigations related to the 2022 reportable cases.[18] Of the 89 cases with administrative investigations, 9 were still open at the time of this report. The specific status of any related administrative investigation is noted on the Settled and Litigated Case lists, attached to this Report as Appendices A and B. **Figure 9** below reflects the category of closed cases for the 2022 reportable cases.

Figure 9 – Case Closure Categories

Case Closure Category	Number of Cases
Sustained	9
Not Sustained	2
Exonerated	4
Unfounded	5
Administratively Closed	20
Closed – Administrative Termination	2
Closed – No Affidavit or No Conversion	9
Closed – Hold Status	3

[18] Under the Municipal Code, many of the OIG's investigations are confidential and therefore cannot be reported or disclosed.

Closed – Within Policy	5
Non-disciplinary closure	4
Closed – Pending Lawsuit	11
Other	6
Open investigations	9
TOTAL	**89**

VI. ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Consent Decree paragraph 549 requires that "[a]s part of the CPD Annual Litigation Report, the City will analyze the data and trends collected, and include a risk analysis and resulting recommendations."

A. Analysis

1. Trends in 2022 data

a. Overall cases

As noted above, Reversed Conviction, Use of Force, and Vehicle Pursuit cases accounted for approximately 96% of all ***payouts*** incurred by the City in 2022. Likewise, these same three case types accounted for 97% of the total amount of ***settlements*** by the City in 2022. Additionally, 75% of the total settlement amount paid by the City in 2022 was paid to settle eleven cases – seven Reversed Conviction cases and four Vehicle Pursuit cases. These eleven cases represent only 9.9% of all settled cases but accounted for 75% of the overall settlement amounts, totaling $61,166,500.

b. Use of Force cases

Use of Force cases accounted for the single highest case type with 69 of the 176 reportable cases or 39.2% of the reportable cases in 2022. The underlying incidents for these cases ranged from 2011 through 2022. The year of incident and corresponding number of Use of Force cases is shown in **Figure 10** below.

Figure 10 - Use of Force Cases by Year of Incident

YEAR OF ALLEGED INCIDENT	NUMBER OF CASES
2011	2

2013	1
2014	3
2015	1
2016	3
2017	6
2018	8
2019	13
2020	26
2021	5
2022	1
TOTAL	**69**

Of the 69 reportable Use of Force cases, 50 were settled and 19 were litigated. The 50 settled Use of Force cases accounted for 46.7% of the total number of settled cases and accounted for $17,927,845.16 in total settlements or 22% of the total settlement amount. Of the 19 litigated Use of Force cases, the City prevailed in 14 through motions to dismiss, motions for summary judgment, or following jury trial. Of the remaining five Use of Force cases, the City made offers of judgment against it that were accepted in four of the cases and incurred a verdict and judgment against it following a jury trial in the final case. The total amount of judgments against the City for Use of Force cases was $1,806,358.19 or 36.4% of all judgments against the City. As a result, the total payout amount for Use of Force cases in 2022 was $19,734,203.35, accounting for 22.9% of the total payout amount for 2022. **Figure 11** below shows the outcomes of the Use of Force cases.

Figure 11 – Use of Force cases and outcomes

Outcome	**Number of Cases**	**Amount of Use of Force Settlement or Judgment**
Settled	50	$17,927,845.16
Litigated – City Motion to Dismiss Granted	10	
Litigated – City Motion for Summary Judgment Granted	2	
Litigated – Verdict for City	2	
Litigated – Offer of Judgment Against City	4	$1,045,000.00
Litigated – Jury Verdict and Judgment Against City	1	$761,358.19
TOTAL	**69**	**$19,734,203.35**

2. Trends in comparing 2022 to previous years

The current report is the fourth such report prepared under the Consent Decree. A comparison of settlements, judgments, and total payouts over all four reporting years is depicted below in **Figure 12.**

Figure 12 - Comparison of Overall Settlements, Judgments, and Total Payouts by Year

Year	Number of Cases with a Payout	Settlements	Judgments	Total Payouts
2019	116	$20,751,500.00	$26,034,100.00	$46,785,600.00
2020	92	$20,673,840.00	$19,786,586.00	$40,460,426.00
2021[19]	140	$50,102,650.99	$73,096,733.96	$123,199,384.95
2022	115	$81,332,845.16	$4,956,858.19	$86,289,703.35

2019 had the most comparable number of reportable cases resulting in a payout by the City to the current report with 116 payouts in 2019 and 115 in 2022. However, the total amount of payouts by the City in 2022 far exceeded those in 2019, exceeding the 2019 amount by approximately $40 million. In 2022, the Reversed Conviction settlements alone nearly equaled the total amount of payouts in 2019: $44,157,500 in Reversed Conviction Payouts in 2022 compared to $46,785,600 total payouts in 2019. Additionally, the 2022 Use of Force settlements nearly equaled the total settlements paid in 2019: $17,927,845.16 in 2022 Use of Force settlements compared to $20,751,500.00 in total settlements in 2019.

Further comparisons can be made between the Use of Force cases in the current Report and similar case types in the reports from 2019 and 2020.[20] The 2019 Report contained the category "Excessive Force." Excessive Force cases in 2019 resulted in 38 settled cases and 3 jury trial verdicts and judgments against the City. The 2020 Report contained the category "Excessive Force / Assault / Battery / Wrongful Death." This category of cases in 2020 resulted in 43 settled cases and 1 jury

[19] The 2021 report did not include the settlement of *Anjanette Young v. City of Chicago, et. al.*, 21 L 1939, in which the $2,900,000 settlement was approved by City Council on December 15, 2021. Including this settlement into the 2021 case totals brings the number of 2021 reportable cases to 141, the total 2021 settlement amount to $53,002,650.00, and the total 2021 payout amount to $126,099,384.95.

[20] The 2021 report did not include similar classification and corresponding payouts, making any direct comparison to 2022 data challenging. Moving forward, DOL is working to utilize consistent case categories to allow for more meaningful year-to-year comparison.

trial verdict and judgment against the City. **Figure 13** below shows the comparison of 2022 Use of Force cases and the similar category of cases from 2019 and 2020.

Figure 13 – Use of Force Related Cases for 2019, 2020, and 2022

Report Year	Number of Settled Use of Force Cases	Amount of Settlements	Number of Trial Verdicts and / or Judgments	Amount of Judgments, Fees, and Costs	Total Payout for Use of Force Cases
2019	38	$4,390,250	3	$6,047,300[21]	$10,437,550
2020	43	$16,427,900	1	$1,036,586	$17,464,486
2022	50	$17,927,845.16	5	$1,806,358.19	$19,734,203.35

B. Limitations on the Analysis

1. Time between underlying incident and conclusion of civil litigation

As has been repeatedly stated in the previous Reports, risk assessments based upon data from resolved litigated and settled cases is not the best method to address and correct officer conduct due in large part to the large gap in time between the underlying incident and the resolution of a civil lawsuit. The year of incident for the 2022 reportable cases ranged from 1989 to 2022. **Figure 14** below shows the years of incident and the number of associated cases.

Figure 14 – 2022 Reportable Cases by Year of Underlying Incident

Year of Underlying Incident	Number of cases
1989	2
1991	1
1992	1
2000	1
2002	1
2004	1
2011	3
2012	1
2013	3
2014	6
2015	4

[21] One of the three jury verdicts included and award of $125,000 in punitive damages. This has been deducted from the total as punitive damages are assessed against an individual defendant and by law cannot be paid by the City.

2016	5
2017	15
2018	22
2019	44
2020	45
2021	18
2022	3
TOTAL	**176**

The large disparity between the year of underlying incident and resolution in 2022 is most noticeable within the Reversed Conviction cases. Of the nine reportable Reversed Conviction cases, the underlying incidents and police interactions occurred between 1989 and 2018. Additionally, of the nine reportable Reversed Conviction cases, the underlying incidents for four occurred before 2000. The Reversed Conviction cases by year of incident is depicted in **Figure 15** below.

Figure 15 - Reversed Conviction Cases by Year of Incident

Year of Alleged Incident	Number of Reportable Reversed Conviction Cases in 2022
1989	2
1991	1
1992	1
2002	1
2004	1
2013	1
2017	1
2018	1

Further, the seven settled Reversed Conviction cases accounted for 51% of the total payout amount in 2022. Of the seven settled cases, the underlying incidents for five occurred more than 20 years before the matters were resolved by settlement in 2022. These five settled cases account for 50% of the total City payouts for 2022. This is depicted in **Figure 16** below.

Figure 16 – 2022 Reversed Conviction Settlements for 20+-year-old Incidents

Case Name	Year of Incident	Settlement Amount

Batchelor v. City of Chicago, et al.,	1989	$7,000,000
Bailey v. City of Chicago, et al.,	1989	$7,000,000
Prince v. Kato, et al.,	1991	$9,050,000
Taylor v. City of Chicago, et al.,	1992	$14,250,000
McIntosh v. Bach, et al.,	2002	$6,750,000
Total:		**$44,050,000**

Figure 16 above makes clear that for half of the 2022 payouts, any interaction between a CPD member and the plaintiffs occurred decades ago, and these matters provide little opportunity to correct current conduct.

2. Limitations of Reportable Case Data

The cases required for inclusion in this Report are limited to cases settled or resolved through litigation in 2022 where all remedies on appeal were exhausted or the case was dismissed and is no longer subject to refiling. The cases required for inclusion in this Report are also limited to cases handled by FCRL, Torts, or outside counsel working on their behalf. These criteria create some limitations to the Report. First, the City won motions for summary judgment in two cases and motions to dismiss in two cases in 2022; however, these cases were appealed, and the appeals were not resolved until 2023. This is an example of the limitations of the Report and how it fails to paint the full picture of litigation efforts for a particular year. Additionally, the Consent Decree specifies that cases be handled by FCRL, Torts, or outside counsel on their behalf. However, certain cases never reach those divisions of DOL because they are dismissed by the trial court, immediately appealed, and resolved by the Appeals Division without the involvement of FCRL or Torts[22]. Finally, while the Consent Decree specifies that "all remedies on appeal were exhausted" as a condition of inclusion in the Report, successive appeals or petitions for certiorari to the United States Supreme Court filed during the drafting of the report require decisions about whether the cases should included or excluded from

[22] See e.g., *James v. City of Chicago, et. al.*, 20-cv-7337 and *Turner v. City of Chicago*, 21 cv 3431, both cases included in the 2022 Report that were dismissed by the District Court before reaching FCRL and subsequently resolved by Appeals Division.

the report in order to paint an accurate picture of litigation efforts for the year and ensure that the report is timely.[23]

3. Limitations of Case Specific Factors

Countless case-specific factors may influence the outcome of a lawsuit beyond the merits of a particular case. These factors include but are not limited to the type of allegations, the forum of the dispute, differences between juries, differences in the parties (including the specific involved officers), unresolved legal issues, the specific discovery record, the sympathetic circumstances of the plaintiffs, the strategies of plaintiffs' counsel, the rulings of the court, and the availability or admissibility of evidence. Based upon these factors, a case may expose the City to sufficient risk to justify a settlement even if the incident was not the result of a violation of policy or training and facts do not indicate an area for reform.

C. Recommendations

Consent Decree paragraph 549 requires that "[a]s part of the CPD Annual Litigation Report, the City will analyze the data and trends collected, and include a risk analysis and resulting recommendations." The Annual Litigation Report is prepared by DOL, which serves as the attorney for the City of Chicago and its client agencies, including CPD. DOL analyzes litigation data and trends in the course of providing legal advice to its clients; however, as it continues to defend active litigation and anticipate future litigation against those clients, it is limited by attorney-client privilege and attorney work product in providing public recommendations regarding its clients' practices and procedures. [24]

Nonetheless, despite the limitations imposed by privilege, this Report can highlight existing efforts by DOL and between DOL and CPD to address allegations and issues raised in litigation. First, DOL notifies the CPD General Counsel monthly of all lawsuits filed against the City alleging wrongdoing by members of CPD. Second, CPD General Counsel meets weekly with the FCRL Deputies and Chiefs. These weekly conversations allow for the prompt reporting of issues and trends observed in recently filed litigation and for CPD to promptly address these issues and trends when

[23] Two cases were included in the 2022 Report that involved successive appeals and petitions of certiorari to the United States Supreme Court. *See Holt v. City of Chicago* (17 L 8666) and *Kelley – Lomax v. City of Chicago* (20 CV 4638).

[24] The Consent Decree is clear that the City cannot be required to disclose privileged information or materials. *See* paragraph 684.

necessary. Third, DOL provides input into the CPD Annual Training Needs Assessment and makes recommendations based upon issues observed during litigation.[25] Fourth, FCRL personnel are also involved in certain training efforts with CPD.

Additionally, to mitigate liability risk from past CPD conduct, DOL conducts early and regular assessment of cases to attempt to resolve matters early in the litigation process in order to mitigate litigation expense as well as settlement costs or damage awards. Further, DOL has hired its first Deputy of Risk Management, who will help DOL analyze litigation trends and, with the client agencies, identify areas of risk and opportunities for risk mitigation. Finally, in addition to the above-described risk management efforts involving DOL and CPD, for purposes of future Annual Litigation Reports, DOL will continue to make efforts to capture additional data points that may provide additional insight into the reportable cases.

[25] Consent Decree paragraph 271 requires that CPD conduct a needs assessment. The needs assessment will, among other things, consider input from CPD members, consider input from community members, consider recommendations from CPD oversight entities, and consider court decisions and litigation.

APPENDIX A - SETTLED CASES

Case name	Case Number	Case Type	Date of Underlying Incident	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount, (Amount of settlement designated for Attorneys' Fees)	Named Defendants at the Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigation
Alvarez, Beanell, Ind. Adm. of the Estate of Guadalupe Franco-Martinez v City of Chicago	2020 L 6251	Vehicle pursuit - fatal	6/3/2020	9/21/2022	$15,000,000.00	City of Chicago	
Ames, Jerome, et al. v. City of Chicago, et al.	22-cv-729	Unlawful search or seizure,	4/30/2021	8/26/2022	20000	Victor Alcazar, Star No. 11046; Brandon Neita-Scott, Star No. 18908. City of Chicago	
Armstrong et al v City of Chicago et al	2022cv445	False arrest	10/30/2020	8/17/2022	60000	Joanna Dziedzic, Stephanie Libreros, Brian Vida, City of Chicago	Administratively Closed
Armstrong, Kendall v. City of Chicago	22CV3123	false arrest	7/27/2021	10/24/2022	85000	John Norwood 15471, Julio Rodriguez 19144, City of Chicago	Non-disciplinary closure
Austin-Jones v. City of Chicago, et al.	21 CV 43	false arrest	1/5/2019	1/12/2022	40000	Joseph Siska, 6121. Steven Sebek, 5134	Closed - COPA - Law Deparment
Bailey, Kevin v. City of Chicago, et al.	19-cv-197	Reversed conviction	6/7/1989	1/26/2022	7000000	Living officers: James McArdle, Thomas Keough, Michael Bosco, Daniel McWeeny, Fobert Flood, George Winistorfer, Robert Tovar and Jerry McGovernDeceased officers with special representatives: Sean Rice as spec. rep. for Off. Robert Rice, Mary Nitsche as spec. rep. for Lawrence Nitsche. City of Chicago	
Baldwin, Stephen v. Marissa Garvacz	18-cv-6743	Use of Force	11/28/2017	9/20/2022	11000	Marissa Garbacz	
Ball v. City	21CV4840	Use of Force	8/15/2020	12/28/2022	70000	Bruce Phipps, Wilfredo Torres, Richard Hernandez, Scott Carter, Chris Papaioannou, Matthew Shepard, Stephen Eifrid, James Francis, Kevin Gall, Marko Trifunovic, Oscar Zermeno, Ruben Cardoza. City of Chicago	Administrative Termination
Barocio v. City of Chicago et al.	19 C 8105	Unlawful pretrial detention	4/7/2018	10/6/2022	250000	Marcos Hernandez (7917), Christopher Ware (21593), David Koch (21133), Enrique Pacheco (20258). City of Chicago	No Affidavit/No Conversion
Batchelor, Corey v. City of Chicago, et al.	18-cv-8513	Reversed conviction	6/7/1989	1/24/2022	7000000	Living officers: Daniel McWeeny, Michael Bosco, Jerry McGovern, James McArdle, Robert Tovar, George Winistorfer, Robert Flood, and John Stout. Deceased officers with special representatives: Sean Rice as special representative of Off. Robert Rice, Mary Nitsche as special representative of Off. Lawrence Nitsche, Victoria Keough as special rep. of Off. Thomas Keough, City of Chicago.	
Bavaro v. City of Chicago et al.,	20-cv-1824	Use of Force	3/30/2019	2/10/2022	46000	Joseph Chausse (Star 10082) City of Chicago	Sustained
Black v. Smith et al	21CV5434	False arrest	5/27/2020	4/27/2022	15000	Dennis Huberts, Jr. Brandon Smith. City of Chicago	Closed/No Affidavit

APPENDIX A - SETTLED CASES

Case name	Case Number	Case Type	Date of Underlying Incident	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount, (Amount of settlement designated for Attorneys' Fees)	Named Defendants at the Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigation
Bonds, Lenora for Terrance Harris v. City of Chicago	16-cv-5112	Use of Force	10/22/2013	3/31/2022	100000	City of Chicago	Closed/No Allegations
Brady, Destiny as Independent Administrator of the Estate of Damarcus Reed, v. City of Chicago, et al.,	2020cv7745	Use of Force	3/27/2019	10/6/2022	65000	Brian Collins, Star #16773; Robert Rhodes, Star #12625, Nicholas Mukite, Star #15038, City of Chicago	Close Hold
Brown v. City of Chicago et al.	21 CV 1397	unlawful search or seizure	3/15/2019	4/28/2022	40000	"John Nemec, Star No 19704 Joshua Champion, Star No 13695 Michael Sebastian, Star No 11892 Ricardo Lopez, Star No 11987 Matthew Hecker, Star No12229 Daniel McNicholas, Star No 12550 Elvis Turcinovic, Star No 13509 Brian Bardsley Jr., Star No. 13848 Paul Amelio, Star No 14395 Andrew Turner, Star No 14932 Vicky Perez, Star No 15656 Ryan McCallum, Star No 16333 Daniel Colbenson, Star No 16857 Piotr Nestorowicz, Star No 16883 Marco Zenere, Star No 17319 Maria Marquez, Star No 17363 Sean Farley, Star No 17780 Kevin Sheahan, Star No 18667 Kevin Sheahan, Star No 18667 William Murphy, Star No 19214	Administratively Closed

APPENDIX A - SETTLED CASES

Case name	Case Number	Case Type	Date of Underlying Incident	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount, (Amount of settlement designated for Attorneys' Fees)	Named Defendants at the Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigation
						Phillip Renault, Star No 19250 Scott Minneci, Star No. 19643 Timothy Schnoor, Star No 1970 Patrick Quinn, Star No 19828 Armando Chagoya, Star No 19938 Charles Rhein, Star No 2164 Matthew Lucki, Star No 3055 Emmanuel Zambrano, Star No 3325 Kenneth Adair, Star No 4145 Eric James, Star No 4308 Peter Jonas, Star No 5069 Bryan Zydek, Star No 5642 Andrew Cuomo, Star No 5853 Matthew Lockitski, Star No 6722 Sergio Vences, Star No 7780 Albert Dobbins, Star No 9225 Tawaga Roberts, Star No 9269 Clinton Sebastian, Star No. 1944 John Hroma, Jr., Star No 2373 Thomas Lamb Jr., Star No 606" City of Chicago	
Campbell v. Daniels et. al.	22CV02258	Use of Force	6/29/2021	12/30/2022	60000	Zachary Daniels, 9605 Giuseppe Imburgia, 8274 Anthony Cesena, 18156	Administratively Closed
Campos v. city	22CV2777	False Arrest	6/2/2020	12/1/2022	90000	Eric Taylor Treacher Howard. City of Chicago	Active COPA Investigation
Carr, Derrick v. City of Chicago	21 L 5057	Use of Force	5/31/2020	5/2/2022	100000	City of Chicago	
Carreto, Jomner et al., v. City of Chicago et al.,	21-cv-735	Use of Force	12/11/2020	2/7/2022	1200000	Kevin Bunge (Star# 12973) City of Chicago	2020-5517 - Separation Case (member resinged) COPA - currently in CCR Process
Carson, Trachon L. v. City of Chicago, et al.	22-cv-2199	Use of Force	10/4/2021	9/6/2022	19000	Peter Ujda, Star No. 4980; Elijah Muhammad, Star No. 5487. City of Chicago	
Carswell, Nathaniel v. Sean Lynch, et al.	21-cv-6736	Reversed conviction	9/26/2018	8/11/2022	42500	Sean Lynch (18495), Nicholas Nesis (3329), City of Chicago	
Chavez v. City of Chicago, et.al.,	21 cv 1720	Use of Force	9/19/2020	7/29/2022	72500	Daanish Waudiwala-19417, Ivan Aviles-19579, Noah Lappin-19710, Tyler Fokas-19036, City of Chicago	Closed Hold
Chmielowicz v. Miller, et. al.	21cv5432	Unlawful search or seizure;	9/26/2019	12/15/2022	9000	Gerardo Madrigal, Star 17134. City of Chicago	Active BIA Investigtion
Clark, Timothy v. Patrick Ford, et al	17 cv 6878	5th Amendment Violation	8/29/2012	10/4/2022	$100,000	PATRICK FORD, WILLIAM MEISTER, DONALD HILL, JOHN OTTO, CITY OF CHICAGO	Closed No Affidavit

APPENDIX A - SETTLED CASES

Case name	Case Number	Case Type	Date of Underlying Incident	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount, (Amount of settlement designated for Attorneys' Fees)	Named Defendants at the Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigation
Clifton v. Anger	22CV3409	false arrest	6/30/2020	10/26/2022	6500	Dylan Anger #13392. Ryan Corrigan #7315. City of Chicago	Sustained
Clinton, Parrius v. City of Chicago, et al.	22-cv-2528	false arrest	6/6/2020	9/14/2022	3000	Jacob Garza, Star No. 9349. City of Chicago	
Cole v. City of Chicago	21 C 1640	Monell - False Arrest	12/7/2020	5/24/2022	25000	City of Chicago	
Coleman, Jason v. City of Chicago, et al.	21 L 5001	Use of Force	5/14/2020	6/22/2022	10000	DANIEL BERRY, #13627 DANIEL GUZY, #10294 BRENDEN CIMAGLIA, #14997 JOSEPH GRAY, #15784 NICHOLAS BUTKOVIC, #15456 MATTHEW McNICHOLAS, #1142. City of Chicago	Sustained
Comer v. Salinas et al	21CV4457	False Arrest	8/4/2020	1/18/2022	20000	John Salinas Anthony Rojas. City of Chicago	
Cruz v. City of Chicago et al.	21 C 5925	False Arrest	12/26/2019	10/4/2022	43500	Josue Ortiz, Star #15448, Nicola Zodo, Star #588, City of Chicago	Closed/No Finding - Pending Civil Suit
Curtis, Larry v. City of Chicago, et al.	21-cv-3121	Use of Force	7/19/2020	10/3/2022	457,500	Joseph Scotoni, Star No. 7619. City of Chicago	
Dixon, Matthew v. City of Chicago, et al.	N/A - pre-litigation resolution	Reversed conviction case	4/1/2017	8/31/2022	65000	David Salgado, Xavier Elizondo (Note: Prelitigation resolution). City of Chicago	
El Ro Al v. City of Chicago, et al.	22-cv-138	Use of Force	11/30/2021	4/18/2022	11845.16	Joseph G. Bennett, #19733, City of Chicago	
Farris, Joshua, et al. v. City of Chicago, et al.	22-cv-1729	Use of Force	11/8/2021	7/25/2022	100000	Officer Nicu Tohatan, Star No. 18703; Officer Mario Fuentes, Star No. 9793; Officer Cynthia Garcia, Star No. 9797. City of Chicago	
Finnigan v. Carrillo, et al.	21 CV 4685	Use of Force	10/1/2019	10/20/2022	10000	Robert Carrillo Sgt. #2429 Michael Pettis Sgt. #15422 Jairo Valeriano P.O. Box #10649 Joseph Stanula Sgt. #16634 Shelisha Jones Officer #16634 Michelle Parker Detention Aide Carmen Gonzalez Detention Aide. City of Chicago	Administratively Closed
Ford, Darrian v. City of Chicago, et al.	20cv4691	Use of Force	8/10/2018	6/21/2022	20000	Piotr Pawlowski, Gregory Bacon, Michael Carroll, Jessica Rocco, and Michael Ly, City of Chicago	Closed/NoFinding Pending Civil Suit
Gens, Russel v City of Chicago et. Al.	21-CV-6535	Use of Force	7/17/2020	7/18/2022	80000	Mane Maravic, Jeff Bartel, John Smith, Marco Acevedo, City of Chicago	Active COPA Investigtion.
Gonzalez, et al. v. Scaletta, et al.	17 CV 7080	Unlawful search or seizure	10/1/2015	2/15/2022	45500	Michael Scaletta, 50830 (employee no.) Gina Liberti, 15851. Greg Giuliani, 19855. Sam Bubalo, 18236. Gregory Schoen, 18806. Chris Maksud, 16877. City of Chicago	Administrative Closure
Grayer v. City et al	20CV157	unlawful pretrial detention	12/3/2019	12/7/2021	115000	Kevin Knabjian #17303 Alexis Cotton #17625. City of Chicago	Non-Disciplinary Closure

APPENDIX A - SETTLED CASES

Case name	Case Number	Case Type	Date of Underlying Incident	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount, (Amount of settlement designated for Attorneys' Fees)	Named Defendants at the Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigation
Grayer, Jamil v. City of Chicago	21-cv-4688	Unlawful search or seizure	9/6/2020	3/3/2022	15000	Matthew Gozdal, Unknown Officer #1, Unknown Officer#= #2. City of Chicago	Closed No Affidavit
Green, Francalique v. Dyker, et. al.	21cv3248	Use of Force	7/9/2019	4/11/2021	25000	Bruce Dyker (Star 19236). City of Chicago	
Greer, Dominiq v. City of Chicago, et al.,	16cv5976	Use of Force	7/4/2014	11/19/2021	90000	City of Chicago, Lawrence Cosban Star #4776 and Kevin Spisak Star #3119. City of Chicago	Closed - Witin Policy
Griffin v. City of Chicago et al.	22-cv-4181	Unlawful search or seizure;	6/4/2022	12/6/2022	37500	Demetrius Prothro, Lauren Holt, Ariel Williams. City of Chicago	Administratively Closed
Haley v. Tohatan, et. al.	22cv1785	false arrest	12/28/2021	8/22/2022	38000	Edward Ranzzoni, 1776; Nicu Tohatan, 18703	Administratively Closed
Hall, Lee v. Det. Evangelides, et al.	21-cv-4356	Unlawful Pre-trial detention	7/22/2018	2/21/2022	100000	DET. EVANGELIDES #20526, P.O. DAVOREN #19446, PO NASH, 4836, PO BAIER #292, DET. REIFF, #20847, PO MAXON #507, DET. DISTASIO #20l 82, DET. KIENZLE #20524, DET. MARLEY #20182, DET. MARESSO #20183, DET. GADZIK #20534, City of Chicago	
Harris, Dejuan v. City of Chicago and Officer John Craig	2017 L 010670	Use of Force	10/23/2016	2/4/2022	425000	John Craig *7027. City of Chicago	Closed Within Policy
Haywood, Thomas v. City of Chicago, et al.	21-cv-3231	Unlawful Pretrial Detention	6/8/2019	10/20/2022	25000	Chris Lofgren, Star No. 3600; Adam Wallace, Star No. 14953	
Hendrick, Tommie v. City of Chicago et. al.	20C249	Use of Force	8/17/2019	1/26/2022	50000	Aaron Alley, Star 9516; Michael Bryant, Star 10680; Julio Perez, Star 17150. City of Chicago	Administratively Closed
Hodo v. city et al	19cv1561	Unlawful search or seizure	1/12/2018	12/21/2022	17000	"Mark Mendez #16044 Michael Karczewski #1055"	Not Sustained
Hollis, Desiree v. City of Chicago, et al.	2021cv1956	Use of Force	4/13/2019	5/31/2022	5000	Roy Boffo, City of Chicago	Unfounded/Exonerated
Israel, Isaac	21CV5408	Unlawful Pretrial Detention	10/13/2019	5/26/2022	37500	Luke Opoka 18952; Zachary Gammonley 15808; Brian Gunnell 3030; Shevonne Huff 19948; Maurice Daniel 16559, City of Chicago	Closed/No Finding -Pending Civil Suit
Jackson, Dezra v. City of Chicago, et al.	20-cv-4245	False Arrest	10/30/2018	12/23/2022	10000	Matthew Jackson, Star # 10136. City of Chicago	Unfounded
Jackson, Jeramie v. City of Chicago et. al.	21 CV 4760	False Arrest	8/1/2020	2/24/2022	20000	Dante Koeppen star 18927 and Janessa Hernandez star 6717, City of Chicago	Administratively Closed
James, Marion Individual and as next of friend for the minor, J.J., v. City of Chicago, et. al.	21 CV 4040	unlawful search or seizure,	2/17/2021	6/24/2022	45000	Dean Ewing, Star 8653 and Eric Wright, Star 7655, City of Chicago	Closed/Non-Disciplinary Closure
James, Ned v City of Chicago	11-cv-4418	Use of Force	1/17/2011	8/18/2022	5000	Lisa Buckhalter, #20073; Daniel Randall, Jr., #6507 (Ret.); Emmet Welch, #2087; Michael Zuber, #20585 (Ret.), City of Chicago	Unfounded

APPENDIX A - SETTLED CASES

Case name	Case Number	Case Type	Date of Underlying Incident	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount, (Amount of settlement designated for Attorneys' Fees)	Named Defendants at the Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigation
Johnson, Chad v. City of Chicago, et al.	19-cv-3904	Unlawful pre-trial detention	10/9/2016	2/28/2022	150000	Living officers: Ronald Kimble, Vanessa Muhammed, Karen Williams, Thomas Cepeda, William Gehrke, Timothy J. O'Brien, and Daniel Gorman; Representatives for deceased officers: Deborah O'Donnell as the special rep for Off. Michael O'Donnell, Geri Yanow as special rep. for Offs. Kevin O'Brien and Eugene Jackson, and William G. Proctor Jr. as the administrator of the estate of Off. William G. Proctor Sr. City of Chicago	
Johnson, et. al. v. City of Chicago, et. al.	21cv5987	Use of Force	1/18/2020	8/29/2022	15000	Rebecca Pontrelli, 19359; Michael Sas, 19212; Pawel Swierczynski, 7974; Marcus Griffith, 16305; William Kennedy, 3206; Erik Lopez, 4188; Paulina Florczykowska,5139; Christian Bartnicki, 8009; Greg Schmit, 1086; Beth Giltmier, 42; Michael Walsh, 20028; Gerardo Guevara, 20005; Daniel Warren, 1659, City of Chicago	Active BIA Investigtion.
Jordan v. City et al	15CV157	Use of Force	4/30/2014	8/8/2022	15000	Curtis Blaydes. City of Chicago	Closed No Affidavit
Keller v. City of Chicago, et al.	21 C 4320	Use of Force	6/1/2020	1/11/2022	45000	City of Chicago	
Kennedy, Leroy v. Ridgner et al.,	21-cv-877	Use of Force	8/23/2020	6/10/2022	195000	Jonathan Ridgner (Star# 5144), Nicholas Abramson (Star# 13605) City of Chicago	2021-596 - Separation Case OLA Review - COPA
Kinds, David v. City of Chicago, et. al.	21cv0193	False Arrest	11/20/2019	4/8/2022	30000	Jennifer Dodge (Star 17504) and Ernest Daggs (Star 15484) . City of Chicago	Close Hold
King v. Bishop	19 CV 7253	Use of Force	5/6/2018	2/25/2022	5000	Thomas Bishop, 17301	
Kobayashi v. CIty of Chicago	21 CV 4327	Use of Force	8/15/2020	3/2/2022	30000	City of Chicago	
Lopez v. Branch, et. al.,	20cv5514	Use of Force	9/23/2018	9/13/2022	39000	Larry Branch Jr., Star #15045, City of Chicago	Active COPA Investigation
Martin v. City	19CV4130	Use of Force	2/16/2019	11/30/2022	5000000	Adolfo Bolanos #16767, Guillermo Gama #17268. City of Chicago	2019-90 Finding of Seperation for PO Bolandos - COPA.
McComb, Shatrell v City of Chicago et al.	2019 L 009027	Vehicle pursuit - fatal	7/11/2015	2/23/2022	$1,400,000.00	Defendants: Chicago Police Officer Patrice Patton #10440; Officer John J. Block #18406; Officer Charles McClay #4735; Officer David A. Brown #15887; Officer Maudessie Jointer #1988; Lieutenant Teresa Williams #212; and Antoine Watkins	
McCoy, et al. v. Gordils, et al.	21 CV 4940	false arrest	6/30/2020	4/11/2022	26000	Joel Gordils, 8451. Divale Roberson, 119347. City of Chicago	
McDaniel, William III v. Segent Lule, et al.	21-cv-05842	unlawful search and seizure	12/10/2019	7/27/2022	22000	Sgt, Jose Lule, Star 2380. Ofc. Eulalio Rodrigues, Star 12828. Ofc. Jennifer Soto, Star 16517. Ofc. Timothy Madison, Star 936. Ofc. Hector Estrada, Star 11910. City of Chicago	

APPENDIX A - SETTLED CASES

Case name	Case Number	Case Type	Date of Underlying Incident	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount, (Amount of settlement designated for Attorneys' Fees)	Named Defendants at the Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigation
McGee, Christopher v. City of Chicago	20-L-8611	Use of Force	8/28/2019	5/24/2022	45000	City of Chicago	
McIntosh, Norman v. Chester Bach, et al.	20-cv-0324	Reversed conviction	1/16/2002	7/7/2022	6750000	Chester Bach (20438), David Evans (20927), Joseph Frugoli (21325)	
Miles, Conny v. City of Chicago, et al.	21-cv-4176	Unlawful pretrial detention	8/7/2019	11/4/2022	12000	Detective Chris Doherty, Star No. 21115, Detective Joseph Marszalec, Star No. 21234, Officer Johnson, Star No. 8265, Officer Tuohy, Star No. 9801. City of Chicago	Closed/No Finding Pending Civil Suit
Mitchell v. United States of America	20-cv-5056	Unlawful Search or Seizure	3/7/2019	9/6/2022	50000	Isaac Shavers (1955), Damien Cole (2862), Antonio DiCarlo (17638), James Grubisic (8775), Cesar Guerrero (7947), Siedah Hamptom (16420), Patrick McDonough (14416), Luigi Miro (15819), Marcus Myles (14020), Lafayette Triplett (18220), Anthony Vincent (15162), Tiffany Rodriguez (1805), Nicholas Duckhorn (9171)	Active COPA Investigation
Mitchell, Octavia v. CPD	21-cv-1933	Unlawful search or seizure	4/13/2019	4/19/2022	7500	Shanna Cora, #18819; Adrian Martinez-Garcia, #8649. City of Chicago	Unfounded-COPA
Moaton v. City of Chicago	21 CV 4328	Use of Force	8/15/2020	3/2/2022	30000	City of Chicago	
Morales, Esael v. City of Chicago, et al.	21-cv-120	Use of Force	10/13/2020	3/21/2022	200000	Joseph Cabrera (resigned); Christopher Jania, # 17519; Hector Matias, # 20897; Frank Szwedo, #21000; Jamess Braun, # 20810; Brian Tedeschi, #20243; Bradley Grosskopf, #18363, Christian Nunez, # 5843; Nicholas Piraino, #6110; Frank Ramaglia, # 1775; Thomas Gorman, #2515. City of Chicago	2020-4697 - Finding of Separation for PO Cabrera. Various sustained findings for BWC
Nuckolls, Raylinn v. City of Chicago, et al.	21 C 4060	Use of Force	8/15/2020	2/24/2022	70000	Daniel McAuliffe, #17964. City of Chicago	
Parker, Gabriel v. City of Chicago, et al.	21-cv-4630	Misc (denial of medical care, failure to protect, failure to intervene, indemnification)	2/19/2021	8/23/2022	3500	Carlos Cannon, Star No. 12214; Jorge Garcia, Star No. 14895; John Slowinski, Star No. 1951; Jimmy Piedrasanta, Star No. 12884; Harace Minniefield Jr. City of Chicago	
Pena, Daniel v. Chicago Police Officer Wilfredo Ortiz, et al.	22-cv-3352	Use of Force	6/14/2019	9/6/2022	93000	Wilfredo Ortiz, Star No. 9748; Maribel Rosario, Star No. 13512; Rubert Collins, Star No. 4430. City of Chicago	
Person, Jaquez v. City of Chicago, et al.	22-cv-1654	Use of Force	8/20/2021	12/14/2022	42500	Ilir Llika, Star# 17601 Jorge Ulloa, Star# 13936 Amra Bukalo-Mehmedovic, Star# 7811 Michael Ventura, Star# 14706 Carlos Martinez, Star# 17697. City of Chicago	Non-Disciplinary Closure

APPENDIX A - SETTLED CASES

Case name	Case Number	Case Type	Date of Underlying Incident	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount, (Amount of settlement designated for Attorneys' Fees)	Named Defendants at the Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigation
Prince, Patrick v. Kriston Kato, et al.	18 C 2952	reversed conviction	8/28/1991	10/18/2022	9050000	Kriston Kato, Richard Rybicki, Paul Sarpalius, William Rooney (934). City of Chicago	
Reed, Mitrisha v. City of Chicago	21L004913	Use of Force	5/30/2020	5/2/2022	25000	City of Chicago	
Rowlett, Dwane v. City of Chicago and Alex Raske	17 L 013250	Use of Force	1/1/2017	6/3/2022	900000	Alex Raske, Star 11186. City of Chicago	Sustained with a finding of Separation
Rule, Demetric v. City of Chicago, et al.	21-cv-3595	Unlawful Search or Seizure	1/21/2021	4/4/2022	10000	Marco Vasquez, Christian Herrera, and Joseph Montesdeoca, City of Chicago	
Russell, Jerrell v. City of Chicago et. al.	20 CV 1163	Unlawful pre-trial detention	8/5/2019	5/12/2022	100000	City of Chicago	No Affidavit/No Finding
Sawyer, Rose v. City of Chicago et. al.	22C2422	Use of Force	5/30/2020	11/10/2022	43500	Ryan Krolikowski 20677. City of Chicago	
Shaw, LaTayshia v. City of Chicago, et al.	18-L-8034	Use of Force	6/6/2018	5/31/2022	4,250,000	Sheldon Thrasher. City of Chicago	Sustained with a finding of Separation
Singer, Mark v. City of Chicago	19-cv-3954	Misc. (Due process claim, conversion, constructive bailment)	6/12/2018	9/8/2022	195000	City of Chicago	
Smothers v. City of Chicago and Belanger	2021 L 000907	Vehicle pursuit - Personal Injury;	1/31/2020	10/6/2022	$9,000	City of Chicago. Samantha Belenger	
Soprych v. City of Chicago	19-L-02099	Vehicle pursuit - personal injury	4/21/2018	12/14/2022	$600,000.00	Jake Soprych; City of Chicago, Officer Patrick M. Bryant, #19199, officer Eusebio Mederos, #15197	
Stewart v. Kinney, et al.	20 CV 03368	Use of Force	7/23/2018	10/18/2022	5000	Patrick Kinney, 2044. James Looney, 20589.	Closed/No Finding-Pending Civil Suit
Taylor, Daniel v. City of Chicago, et al.	14-cv-0737	Reversed conviction	12/3/1992	2/7/2022	14250000	Anthony Villardita (20849), Thomas Johnson (20820), Brian Killacky (20748), Terry O'Connor (20831), Rick Abreu (20796), Robert Delaney (20383), Sean Glinski (3122), Michael Berti (12881), City of Chicago	
Taylor, Della v. City of Chicago, et al.	20-cv-7001	Unlawful Search or seizure	12/10/2018	6/29/2022	40000	Ronald Norway, Star No. 6797; Edwin Utreras, Star No. 19901; Scott McKenna, Star No. 3942; Joy McClain, Star No. 4915. City of Chicago	
Thomas, Christopher v. Pedro Venegas, et al.	2021-cv-04294	Use of Force	8/9/2020	2/1/2022	50000	Pedro Venegas (9624), Juan Pintor (6255), Daniel Sullivan (855)	
Torres, Brumilda, Special Administrator v. City of Chicago, et al.	17 C 88	Use of Force	1/2/2017	6/13/2022	1900000	Lowell Howser, off-duty, scope denied. City of Chicago	
Treadwell, Mark v. David Salgado, et al.	2019 C 3179	Unlwawful pretrial detention	10/21/2017	12/21/2022	100000	David Salgado (#16347) Xavier Elizondo (#1340). City of Chicago	
Vassar v. City of Chicago, et al.	22 CV 218	Use of Force	1/30/2020	5/9/2022	30000	Sgt Cornelius Brown 2235. PO Musa Ahmad, 9263. City of Chicago	

APPENDIX A - SETTLED CASES

Case name	Case Number	Case Type	Date of Underlying Incident	Date of Stipulation of Dismissal	Settlement Amount, (Amount of settlement designated for Attorneys' Fees)	Named Defendants at the Time of Final Order	Findings and Status of Any Administrative Investigation
Wade, et al v. Ramos, et al	16-cv-9022	unlawful search or seizure	9/16/2015	1/30/2020	$40,000	IVAN I. RAMOS, SALVATORE REINA, JOHN W. FRANO, MARVIN J. BONNSTETTER, KEVIN GARCIA, MICHAEL A. NAPOLI, VITO P. RAIMONDI, TONIA M. MORIN, JOSEPH M. ROMAN, JENNIFER L. TERZICH, LAWRENCE O. STUCKERT, SANTOS T. REYES, JR., and CITY OF CHICAGO	
Whatley, Damarcus v City of Chicago, et al.	21CV06056	False Arrest	12/3/2020	3/29/2022	10000	Kazmi 17382, Westerfield 9502, Murphy 393, Donahoe 10961, M Appelhans 11774, S. Damato 15611, J. Smith 17346, M. Cazares 17968, J Kenar 8363, I Martinez 9366, P Babich 20057, K. Schmit 21831, T Mason 2368, D Anderson 20013, j McCarthy 20131. City of Chicago	Closed/No finding - Pending Civil Suit
White, Gregory v. City of Chicago	20 L 10638	Malicious prosecution	10/9/2019	2/2/2022	75000	City of Chicago	
Whitley v. City of Chicago, et al.	21 CV 6493	Use of Force	12/5/2018	10/12/2022	100000	Jeremy Carter, 4007. City of Chicago	Sustained
Williams, Shanece on behalf of herself and as mother and next friend of J.W. v. Chicago Board of Education, et al.	20-cv-5666	Use of Force	3/2/2020	4/8/2022	20000	Cortney Weisinger	
Wilson v Fokas	20-cv-4974	Use of Force	7/24/2019	8/5/2022	22000	Tyler Fokas, Srar 19036	Active COPA Investigation
Wilson, David v Hurnes, et. Al.	20-cv-4946	False Arrest	10/30/2018	6/28/2022	20000	Philrick Hurnes, 6866; Daniel Johnson, 3761; Martin Kordas, 16910; Julius Beacham, 10121; Bradley Bullington, 8684; Abraham Espinosa, 12699; Cecil Goston, 8925; Christopher Lewis, 18248, City of Chicago	Closed/No Finding Pending Civil suit
Winn v. City of Chicago et al.	20 CV 5246	Unlawful pretrial detention	3/2/2019	6/23/2022	15000	"Thomas Keane, Star No. 323 John Richter, Star No. 20768" City of Chicago	
Woodson, Jarrad v. City of Chicago et. al.	21CV4707	False Arrest	7/23/2021	2/24/2022	20000	Phillip Fox, Star 7290. City of Chicago	Closed/No Finding Within Policy
Wright, et al. v. City of Chicago	20 C 7688	Use of Force	5/31/2020	12/17/2021	1625000	City of Chicago	Sustained with **Finding of Separation for POS David Laskus and Patrick Dwyer.**
Yarbrough, Jason v. Salvador, et. al.,	21-cv-3885	Use of Force	7/24/2019	10/19/2022	100000	Richard R. Salvador, Kenneth Brink, Juan V. Gali, Eleazar Maldonado, Jr., and Shannon K. Jasica. City of Chicago	

APPENDIX B -LITIGATED CASES

Case Name	Case Number	Case Type	Date of Underlying Incident	Date of Final Order	Named Defendants	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages	Attorney's Fees and Costs	Total of Compensatory, Punitive, Fees	Findings and Status of Administrative Investigation
Austin-Bey, Travis v. City of Chicago, et al.	21-cv-611	False Arrest	12/16/2020	3/30/2022	Mohammed Ahmad, #10538; Suzanne Niemoth, #17958. City of Chicago	Motion to dismiss granted in full with prejudice					Unfounded and Not Sustaned
Baldwin v. The City of Chicago et al	1:22-cv-01986	False arrest,	4/18/2021	9/19/2022	Omar Garcia, Star No. 19738, John Hanlon, Star No. 3043. City of Chicago	Voluntary dismissal (no settlement)					Closed/No Finding Pending Cvil Suit
Bellamy, Karen, Independent Administrator of the Estate of Olivia Gross, Deceased v. City of Chicago and John Kennedy	18-L-008726	Vehicle pursuit - wrongful death	10/21/2014	11/29/2021	City of Chicago and John Kennedy #12134	Verdict for Plaintiff(s);	2375000			2375000	
Brown, Douglas v. City of Chicago et al.,	19-cv-7562	Unlawful pretrial detention	6/11/2014	5/30/2022	Ralph Palomino (Star 18473), Tamica Rainey (Star 17689). City of Chicago	Dismissal for Want of Prosecution that was not timely refiled					
Cannon-Bey, Christopher H. v. City of Chicago, et al.,	21cv4317	Unlawful search or seizure	8/9/2021		Danielle Stark, Star #5842. City of Chicago	Motion to dismiss granted in full with prejudice					
Carter, Travis v. City of Chicago, et al.	20-CV-1684	reversed conviction	11/15/2013	7/29/2022	Michael Wroble (Star # 11688). City of Chicago	Voluntary dismissal (no settlement)					
Chinchilla, Gabriel v. City of Chicago, et al.	21-cv-2846	Use of Force	5/31/2020	6/30/2022	Bojan Simic, # 12847; Richard Bankus, # 6769. City of Chicago	Offer of Judgment accepted	150000			150000	Sustained
Cleveland, Albert v City of Chicago, et al.	21CV4791	Unlawful Pre-trial Detention	9/23/2019	12/9/2022	K Gomez 9909, A Tkachuk 17034. City of Chicago	Summary judgment for all City Defendants					Closed/No Finding Pending Civil Suit
Corbitt, Cierra v. City of Chicago et. al.	22C2775	Use of Force	5/18/2022	9/12/2022	City of Chicago	Voluntary dismissal (no settlement); re-filed 1026/2022 as 22 cv 5896)					
Davila, Esperanza v. City of Chicago, et al.	18-L-11384	Use of Force	4/7/2014	3/24/2022	PATRICK KELLY, Star No. 19397 and ANTONIO CORRAL, Star No. 10551. City of Chicago	Verdict for Plaintiff(s) (includes cases where some, but not all, City Defendants received a verdict in their favor)	742500	0	18858.19	761358.19	Finding of Justified/Within Policy
Diaz, Francisco v. City of Chicago et al.	2021 L 002636	Vehicle pursuit - personal injury	3/7/2020	9/29/2021	City of Chicago	Voluntary Dismissal;					
Duncan, Nicole for the Estate of Lewis v. City of Chicago	19-cv-3466	Use of Force	6/2/2017	3/2/2022	Alexander Fuertes (15810) and Washington Mina (18599). City of Chicago	Summary judgment for all City Defendants					Finding Justified/Within Policy

APPENDIX B -LITIGATED CASES

Case Name	Case Number	Case Type	Date of Underlying Incident	Date of Final Order	Named Defendants	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages	Attorney's Fees and Costs	Total of Compensatory, Punitive, Fees	Findings and Status of Administrative Investigation
Evans, Regina, et al. v. City of Chicago, et al.	21-cv-4135	Unlawful search or seizure;	8/7/2019	7/7/2022	JUAN L. PEREZ (#19056), MATTHEW SANCHEZ (#10159), RICCARDO PEREZ GUZMAN (#18960), BRIAN BONE (#118378), JONATHAN DIAZ (#116858), ANDREW RANGEL (#121590), RIGOBERTO FINE (#117547), SGT. RICKY RIVERA (#2101), CHRISTIAN SZCZUR (#18774), and PIERRE TYLER (#10228). City of Chicago	Offer of Judgment accepted	300000		150000	450000	
Fisher, Susan v. City of Chicago et al	20-L-012320	Vehicle pursuit - personal injury	2/5/2020	1/13/2022	City of Chicago (Defendant); Eric Acevedo (Defendant); Martin Hernandez (Defendant); James Farrell (Defendant)	Voluntary Dismissal;					
Fix, John v. City of Chicago, et al.	21-cv-2843	Use of Force	5/31/2020	3/31/2022	Reginald Foster, # 5943; Brandon Neita-Scott, # 18908; Richard Bankus, # 6769; Shannon Martin, # 2583; Michael Seiser, # 4615 (Ret.); Bojan Simic, # 12847. City of Chicago	Offer of Judgment accepted	200000			200000	Sustained
Ford v Crigler	21CV2532	False arrest	8/19/2018	7/26/2022	Kenneth Jordan 16851; Lorne Stennis 8595; Jeremy Mason 17917. City of Chicago	Motion to dismiss granted in full with prejudice					
Freeman, James v. Edward Winstead, et al.	19-cv-8256	Reversed conviction	1/13/2004	8/30/2022	Edward Winstead, Kenneth Boudreau, William Svilar, and Robert Myers. City of Chicago	Voluntary dismissal (no settlement)					
GARNER, JAMES v. CITY OF CHICAGO	21-cv-3660	Unlawful search or seizure	5/20/2021	12/9/2021	CITY OF CHICAGO, TIFFANY WEBB, DOOR AND WINDOW GUARD SYSTEMS	Dismissed by district court; affirmed on appeal					
Gomez, Angel v. City of Chicago, et al.	2019-cv-03031	Unlawful pretrial detention	5/5/2017	6/3/2022	John Halloran (20453), James O'Brien (20466), Kristi Battalini (20331), John Dowling (20194), Kent Elmer (17681), Mark Evans (7946). City of Chicago	Voluntary dismissal (no settlement)					
Gonzalez, Eddien Enriquez v David Brown CPD	20221300603	Other (harrassement)	6/7/2022	7/5/2023	David Brown, CPD. City of Chicago	Dismissal for Want of Prosecution that was not timely refiled					
Harris, Antonio v. City of Chicago, et al.	20-cv-4521	Use of Force	7/25/2018	3/1/2022	Matthew Sanchez, # 10159; Christian Szczur, # 18774; Danilo Loza, # 16201. City of Chicago	Summary judgment for all City Defendants					Exonerated

APPENDIX B -LITIGATED CASES

Case Name	Case Number	Case Type	Date of Underlying Incident	Date of Final Order	Named Defendants	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages	Attorney's Fees and Costs	Total of Compensatory, Punitive, Fees	Findings and Status of Administrative Investigation
Harris, Artemis v. Ernest Spradley, et al.	18-cv-7993	false arrest	8/29/2017	1/24/2022	Ernest Spradley, Star No. 627	Summary judgment for all City Defendants					Exonerated
Harris, Kamel v. City of Chicago	20-L-5414	Malicious prosecution	11/14/2016	7/12/2022	City of Chicago	Voluntary dismissal (no settlement)					
Herrera v. Fuentes	17CV8839	Use of Force	1/20/2016	12/9/2022	Hector Fuentes	Verdict for all City Defendant(s)					Closed No Affidavit.
Holmes, Tiease v. City of Chicago, et al.,	20cv4878	Use of Force	5/30/2020	9/6/2022	Miguel Nova, Star #4926. City of Chicago	VD with refile in state court against City only (2022 L8032)					
HOLT, TONY v. CITY OF CHICAGO	2017 L 008666	Malicious prosecution	1/25/2014	6/30/2022	CITY OF CHICAGO, DETECTIVE PATRICIA CHRISTIAN, and JUNE JENKINS ROBB (non-city defendant)	Jury verdict for P ($6.4 million) 2/21/2020; MDV granted 2/21/2020; P appealed, affirmed on appeal 6/30/2022					
Hudson, Wendell v. Michael Gaines, Fernando Dominguez, Yvette Wooten	20CV5663	False arrest	1/16/2020	9/15/2022	Michael Gaines 6030; Fernando Dominguez 18013; Yvette Wooten 667	Motion to dismiss granted in full with prejudice					Closed/No Finding Pending Civil Suit
Huff, Parta v. Veronica Murillo, et al.	18-cv-6336	Use of Force	10/5/2016	10/21/2021	Veronica Murillo, #9631; George Moussa, #5509; Jerome Warner, #11510. City of Chicago	Dismissal for Want of Prosecution that was not timely refiled					Administratively Closed
Ingram v. City of Chicago	21-cv-3510	Unlawful pretrial detention;	6/4/2017	8/16/2022	Brian Cyngar (20280), Mireya Lipsey (21307), Eugene Vann (17679), Michael Renkosiak (11579). City of Chicago	Dismissal for Want of Prosecution that was not timely refiled					Administratively Closed
James, Bill v. City of Chicago	20-cv-7337	False arrest	11/9/2020	9/13/2022	CITY OF CHICAGO, KIM FOXX	Dismissed by district court; affirmed on appeal					
Javaid, Irfan v. City of Chicago, et al.	21-cv-6335	False arrest	11/24/2019	9/13/2022	Sabahudin Palackic / 5198 Eric Hunger / 6494 Endri Peci / 10452 Felipe De La Garza / 4620 Patricia Ramirez / 19632 City of Chicago	Motion to dismiss granted in full with prejudice					Administratively Closed
Jerry v. City of Chicago and Kristopher Schultz	2019 L 13151	Vehicle pursuit - personal injury	12/4/2018	6/23/2022	City of Chicago, Kristopher Schultz	Dismissal for Want of Prosecution and Not Timely Refiled					
Karri, Usha Soujana v. Merrick Garland, et al.	22-cv-00055	Other claims under 1983. (360+ page complaint).	9/30/2021	5/3/2022	David O. Brown	Plaintiff failed to amend complaint in accordance with court order.					
Kelley-Lomax, Jevarreo Individually and for a class v. City of Chicago	20 C 4638	Other (class action - deprivation of property)	4/18/2019	9/28/2022	City of Chicago	Motion to dismiss granted in full with prejudice					
Key, Lashundia v. City of Chicago, et al.	18-L-2421	Use of Force	11/29/2017	1/21/2022	Julio Garcia, City of Chicago	Voluntary dismissal (no settlement)					

APPENDIX B -LITIGATED CASES

Case Name	Case Number	Case Type	Date of Underlying Incident	Date of Final Order	Named Defendants	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages	Attorney's Fees and Costs	Total of Compensatory, Punitive, Fees	Findings and Status of Administrative Investigation
King v. Cascone et al	19 CV 4243	Unlawful search or seizure	6/20/2017	7/25/2022	Supervisor James Cascone #560 Officer Jeremiah Johnson #8265, Officer Michael Tuohy #9801, Detective Timothy O'Brien #20899,	Dismissal for Want of Prosecution that was not timely refiled					Administrative Termanation
King v. City et al	20CV4781	False Arrest	5/31/2019	3/29/2022	Joseph Fitzgerald #19954 Sherry Kotlarz #16103	Motion to dismiss granted in full with prejudice					Closed/No Affidavit
Kirkendoll v. City of Chicago, et.al.	21 cv 3909	Unlawful pre-trial detention	8/13/2019	9/1/2022	Christopher Ware- 21593 Malcolm Brogsdale-5508 Alvaro Carrasco-6816 David Kachiroubas-19334 Artavius Mitchell-4689. City of Chicago	Dismissal for Want of Prosecution with Prejudice					Administratively Closed; related matter Exonerated
Lane, Lavonte v City of Chicago	22L001052	Malicious prosecution	4/25/2019	8/2/2022	Marco Escobedo 18729; Justin Boyer 15717; Maximo Mora 725; Purvish Patel 687. City of Chicago	Motion to dismiss granted in full with prejudice (re-filing of 21cv1977)					
Lane, Lavonte v. City of Chicago	21CV1977	Use of Force	4/25/2019	1/3/2022	Marco Escobedo #18729 Justin Boyer #15717 Maximo Mora #725 Pirvish Patel #687. City of Chicago	Motion to dismiss granted in full with prejudice; refiled in state court					
Latham v. Gomez, et. al.	20cv4569	Other	12/24/2019	6/12/2022	Servando Gomez, Star 3058; Jose Moreno, Star 10978; Kim Hyon, Star 20119	Motion to dismiss without prejudice to Plaintiff's ability to pursue any state court relief that may be available, a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, or another § 1983 action if and when his conviction and sentence are invalidated.					

APPENDIX B -LITIGATED CASES

Case Name	Case Number	Case Type	Date of Underlying Incident	Date of Final Order	Named Defendants	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages	Attorney's Fees and Costs	Total of Compensatory, Punitive, Fees	Findings and Status of Administrative Investigation
Latiker, Victor v City of Chicago et al	2021L012802	Malicious Prosecution	1/8/2017	5/20/2022	CHERI ALANIZ, KYLE GLEESON, DANIEL CASTILLO, SAMUEL BRIENZO, ROBERT PIZZO JR., MICHAEL BRIDESON, MARK DAMATO, ROGELIO BORJAS JR., DENNIS GRABER, JAMES BANSLEY, RYAN GAINER, MANUEL GIRON, LOUIS GARCIA, MIGUEL VAZQUEZ, ROBERT HERNANDEZ, GERALD TROGLIA, ERIC JONES III, ERIC LOVATO, KEVIN BURG, JARREDD COCHRAN, NATALIA GALVAN, LEE CALDWELL, MARCO ZENERE, YESENIA MEDINA, JOHN PIECHOCKI, and JOHN DOE. City of Chicago	Case was dismissed w/o prejudice and then PL filed Motion for Voluntary Dismissal					Administratively Closed
Mandujano, Safire v. The City of Chicago and Officer Craig	2021-L-09582	Other (IIED)	8/15/2021	6/17/2022	John Craig, Star # 7027. City of Chicago	Motion to dismiss granted in full with prejudice					Administratively closed.
Martinez, Edwin v. City of Chicago and CPD Officer	22-cv-1860	Use of Force	3/30/2020	6/30/2022	City of Chicago. CPD Officer	Voluntary dismissal (no settlement)					
McWilliams, Kevin v. City of Chicago	14 cv 3902	Unlawful pretrial detention	2/21/2013	1/14/2022	CITY OF CHICAGO, SCOTT J. CELANI, JAMES TUCKER, DAWN HUBBARD	MSJ granted by district court; affirmed on appeal					Administratively Closed.
Mercy Hospital v. City of Chicago	2019 L 12787	Other / Contribututory negligence in wrongful death	11/19/2018	11/1/2021	City of Chicago	Motion to dismiss granted in full with prejudice					
Miller, Jokari v. Thomas Bresnahan, et al.	20-CV-242	false arrest	8/2/2000	10/3/2022	Thomas Bresnahan (Star#19140) Timothy Clancy (Star# 733) John Lucki (Star# 2024)	Motion to dismiss granted in full with prejudice					Adminstratively Closed
Milsap, Ora v. Chicago Police Department, et al.,	2021cv0469	False arrest	12/12/2019	2/28/2022	Paul Honea, #1975, Laurence Odoms, #2573, Abel Orozco, #1674, Jeremiah Anderson #17700, Amy Christensen #16016, Jamesa Jackson #5622, Elizabeth Raygoza #18610, and Scott Hall #2204.	Voluntary dismissal (no settlement)					
Montoya v. City of Chicago, et al.	21 C 4596	Use of Force	8/31/2020	3/23/2022	Matthew Krzeptowski, #14255 Zachary Kuta, #12263. City of Chicago	Motion to Dismiss granted					Adminstratively Closed with SPARs issued

APPENDIX B -LITIGATED CASES

Case Name	Case Number	Case Type	Date of Underlying Incident	Date of Final Order	Named Defendants	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages	Attorney's Fees and Costs	Total of Compensatory, Punitive, Fees	Findings and Status of Administrative Investigation
Nazario, Omar, et al., v. Chicago Police Officers K. Kruger, et al.	12-cv-4804	Use of Force	6/16/2011	1/28/2022	KARL KRUGER (Star no. 17501), SGT. WILLIAM GRASSI (Star no. 1509), GARY FREAR (Star no. 16852), MICHAEL MANNOT (Star no. 15691), ROBERT TROTTER (Star no. 11076), MICHAEL CHERNIK (Star no. 3491), JOSE RODRIGUEZ (Star no. 12170). City of Chicago	Offer of Judgment accepted				345,000	
Nelson, Natalie v. City of Chicago, et al.	19 L 14401	Malicious Prosecution	11/2/2017	4/4/2022	Elliot Musial, #910; Angela Morris, #15793. City of Chicago	Summary judgment for all City Defendants					
Novak, Jessica v. City of Chicago, et al.	21-cv-6743	Other (1983 failure to police claim - sexual harrassment and police misconduct)	11/23/2019	8/26/2022	Patrick McGrath. City of Chicago	Motion to dismiss granted in full with prejudice					
Paopao, Vincent v. City of Chicago, et al.	19-cv-3790	Use of Force	6/6/2017	1/3/2022	Gregory Stranski, Star No. 7907, City of Chicago	Voluntary dismissal (no settlement)					
Perez, Stacy v City of Chicago et al	20cv6186	Use of Force	5/13/2020	9/16/2022	John Hanlon # 3043 Victor Lopez # 16182. City of Chicago	Motion to dismiss granted in full with prejudice					Not Sustaned/Unfounded
Romero, Jose Juan v City of Chicago et al	21CV 01592	Other	11/7/2017	5/3/2022	Alex Lopez 12666 Agustin Cervantes 14856. City of Chicago	Voluntary dismissal (no settlement)					Exonerated/ Unfounded
Rosado v. City of Chicago, et. al.	21L12442	Malicious prosecution	3/23/2011	9/2/2022	Emerico Gonzalez-2539 Robert Ramirez-3415 David Torres Bridgett Herlehy-18364 Ricardo Mata-1903 John Gonzalez-19765 Jennifer Pryzvbylo-1307 Mark Eldridge Mel Roman Joseph Watson. City of Chicago	Voluntary dismissal (no settlement)					
Ross, Brandan v. City of Chicago et al.,	21-cv-3391	Unlawful search or seizure	6/24/2019	1/17/2022	Superintendent David Brown, Kyle Bernaciak, Benjamin De Young	Voluntary dismissal (no settlement)					Administratively Closed.
Santiago et al v. United States of America et al	20 CV 6371	Unlawful search or seizure	4/20/2019	11/7/2022	City of Chicago	Voluntary dismissal (no settlement)					Administratively Closed
SDI v. City of Chicago	2019 L 12720	Other / Contribututory negligence in wrongful death	11/19/2018	11/1/2021	City of Chicago	Motion to dismiss granted in full with prejudice					
Stanley, David v. Santander Consumer, et al.	20-cv-5823	false arrest	8/22/2019	9/19/2022	M.K. Ferguson / Star # 17252 J.C. Cooper / Star # 2834 L.J. Wade / Star # 422. City of Chicago	Motion to dismiss granted in full with prejudice					Closed/No Finding Pending Civil Suit.

APPENDIX B -LITIGATED CASES

Case Name	Case Number	Case Type	Date of Underlying Incident	Date of Final Order	Named Defendants	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages	Attorney's Fees and Costs	Total of Compensatory, Punitive, Fees	Findings and Status of Administrative Investigation
Tate, Toni, for herself and behalf of her minor child, Cali McCuller, Christopher Harbin and Cierra Harbin v. City of Chicago and Chicago police officers Suzanne L. Niemoth (Star #17958), Jesse Alvarez (Star #197); Jeremy D. Arrington (#16330), Oscar Benavides(#4839), Anthony P. Bruno (#1123), Yvette Carranza (#13435), Danielle M. Cusimano (#16619), Victor J. Guebara (#17147), Horst E. Hegewald (#18609), Brendan T. Mulligan (#10132), Sean Ryan (#13198), Jeffery A. Shafer (#17177), Matthew J. Sieber (#10163); Curtis L. Weathersby (# 7866)	19 CV 7506	unlawful search or seizure	8/5/2019	6/15/2022	Suzanne L. Niemoth (Star #17958), Jesse Alvarez (Star #197); Jeremy D. Arrington (#16330), Oscar Benavides(#4839), Anthony P. Bruno (#1123), Yvette Carranza (#13435), Danielle M. Cusimano (#16619), Victor J. Guebara (#17147), Horst E. Hegewald (#18609), Brendan T. Mulligan (#10132), Sean Ryan (#13198), Jeffery A. Shafer (#17177), Matthew J. Sieber (#10163); Curtis L. Weathersby (# 7866) City of Chicago	Offer of Judgment accepted	325500			325500	**Active COPA Investigation**
Taylor, Michael v. City of Chicago, et al.	21-cv-2197	Use of Force	6/5/2019	12/19/2022	Connor Brackin, Star No. 15674; Ricardo Carillo, Star No. 15705; Alvaro Gallegos, Star No. 7920; Michael Malecki, Star No. 10075. City of Chicago	Dismissal for Want of Prosecution that was not timely refiled					
Turner, Tina v. City of Chicago	21 cv 3431	Unlawful search or seizure	11/9/2020	1/19/2022	JENNIFER L. TERZICH, LAWRENCE O. STUCKERT, SANTOS T. REYES, JR., CITY OF CHICAGO	Dismissed by district court; affirmed on appeal					
Vasquez, Eloisa as Special Administrator of the Estate of Anthony Tlahuel	19 L 11643	Vehicle pursuit - wrongful death	6/26/2019	10/17/2022	City of Chicago	Voluntary Dismissal					
Walls v. City	19CV6468	Use of Force	5/2/2018	12/5/2022	Nicholas Vasselli, Sean Carroll, Stanislaw Smalec, Keigh Crot, Jose Estrada Timothy Larmon. City of Chicago	Offer of Judgment accepted	350000			350000	Active COPA Investigation.
Warnick v City of Chicago	21CV04926	False arrest	5/29/2021	8/1/2022	Christopher Sides 15327; Brandon McDonald 19423; Timothy Smith 619. City of Chicago	Dismissal for Want of Prosecution					Administratively Closed/No Affidavit.
Watkins, Victor v. City of Chicago, et al.	19 C 6615	Other	4/12/2019	8/16/2022	City of Chicago	Motion to Dismiss granted without prejudice, converted to with prejudice after no amended complaint					
Watson, James v. City of Chicago et al.,	15-cv-11559	Use of force	1/10/2015	10/12/2022	Antonio Fulton (Star 19396), Keion Feazell (Star 9848). City of Chicago	Verdict for all City Defendant(s)					Closed/No Affidavit

APPENDIX B -LITIGATED CASES

Case Name	Case Number	Case Type	Date of Underlying Incident	Date of Final Order	Named Defendants	Nature of Order	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages	Attorney's Fees and Costs	Total of Compensatory, Punitive, Fees	Findings and Status of Administrative Investigation
Woods, Darryl v. Eddie Johnson	19-cv-345	Unlawful search or seizure	2/18/2018	12/20/2022	Eddie Johnson (in his official capacity)	Voluntary dismissal (no settlement)					

2023 ANNUAL LITIGATION REPORT