2006 Annual Report

63rd/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area



Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

JUNE 30, 2007



Ernst & Young LLPSears Tower233 South Wacker DriveChicago, Illinois 60606-6301

Phone: (312) 879-2000 www.ey.com

June 30, 2007

Ms. Kathleen A. Nelson First Deputy Commissioner Department of Planning and Development 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Commissioner:

Enclosed is the annual report for the 63rd/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area, which we compiled at the direction of the Department of Planning and Development pursuant to Section 5(d) of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1 et seq.), as amended. The contents are based on information provided to us by Chicago Departments of Planning and Development, Finance, and Law. We have not audited, verified, or applied agreed upon accounting and testing procedures to the data contained in this report. Therefore, we express no opinion on its accuracy or completeness.

It has been a pleasure to work with representatives from the Department of Planning and Development and other City Departments.

Very truly yours,

Ernst & Young LLP

Ernet & Young LLP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ANNUAL REPORT – $63^{\rm RD}/\rm ASHLAND$ REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION (d) OF 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5.

		PAGE
LE	TTER TO STATE COMPTROLLER	1
1)	DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION	2
2)	AUDITED FINANCIALS	3
3)	MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION	4
4)	OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL	5
5)	ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND	6
6)	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	7
7)	STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	9
8)	DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY	. 13
9)	ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE	14
10)	CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORT	15
11	GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP	16



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Planning and Development

City Hall, Room 1000 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 744-4190 (312) 744-2271 (FAX) (312) 744-2578 (TTY) http://www.cityofchicago.org June 30, 2007

The Honorable Daniel Hynes Comptroller State of Illinois Office of the Comptroller 201 Capitol Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Comptroller Hynes:

We have compiled the attached information for the 63rd/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area (Report) pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d).

Sincerely,

Kathleen A. Nelson

First Deputy Commissioner





(1) DATE OF DESIGNATION AND TERMINATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(1.5)

The Project Area was designated on March 29, 2006. The Project Area may be terminated no later than March 29, 2029.

Note: Incremental tax revenues levied in the 23rd tax year are collected in the 24th tax year. Although the Project Area will expire in Year 23 in accordance with 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3(n)(J)(3), the incremental taxes received in the 24th tax year will be deposited into the Special Tax Allocation Fund.

(2) AUDITED FINANCIALS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(2)

During 2006, no financial activity or cumulative deposits over \$100,000 occurred in the Project Area. Therefore, no audited statements were prepared pertaining to the Special Tax Allocation Fund for the Project Area.

(3) MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(3)

Please see attached.

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) SS
COUNTY OF COOK)

CERTIFICATION

TO:

Daniel W. Hynes
Comptroller of the State of Illinois
James R. Thompson Center
100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Attention: June Tallamantez, Director of Local
Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1149 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Peter C. Nicholson, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Tim Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

I, RICHARD M. DALEY, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the 63rd/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

- 1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.
- 2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2006, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.
- 3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.
 - 4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 29th day of June, 2007.

Richard M. Daley, Mayor City of Chicago, Illinois

(4) OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(4)

Please see attached.



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Law

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

City Hall, Room 600
121 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 744-0200
(312) 744-8538 (FAX)
(312) 744-2963 (TTY)
http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 29, 2007

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Tallamantez, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1149 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Peter C. Nicholson, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Tim Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO
Chicago Park District
541 North Fairbanks
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Re: 63rd/Ashland

Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project

Area")

Dear Addressees:

I am Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City"). In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.





Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City familiar with the requirements of the Act have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Housing, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management, have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

SCHEDULE 1

(Exception Schedule)

- (X) No Exceptions
- () Note the following Exceptions:

(5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5)

During 2006, there was no financial activity in the Special Tax Allocation Fund.

(6) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(6)**

TABLE 6 DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY PURCHASED BY THE MUNICIPALITY WITHIN THE TIF AREA

	APPROXIMATE SIZE OR		
	DESCRIPTION OF		
STREET ADDRESS	PROPERTY	PURCHASE PRICE	SELLER OF PROPERTY
	·		
6321 S Marshfield Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
6201 S Honore St ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
6326 S Hermitage Ave ¹	· N/A	N/A	N/A
6422 S Ashland Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
6330 S Hermitage Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
6333 S Marshfield Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
6138 S Hermitage Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
6345 S Hoyne Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
6324 S'Hoyne Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
6138 S Wood St ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
6000 S Wolcott Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
6016 S Wolcott Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
6023 S Wolcott Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
6237 S Winchester Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
6420 S Hoyne Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
6351 S Hoyne Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A

	APPROXIMATE SIZE OR		
STREET ADDRESS	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	PURCHASE PRICE	SELLER OF PROPERTY
STREET ADDRESS	PROPERTY	FUNCTIASE FRICE	SELLER OF FROFERT I
6435 S Hoyne Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
5836 S Wolcott Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
5830 S Wolcott Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
5840 S Wolcott Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
5834 S Wolcott Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
6348 S Bishop St ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
6321 S Justine St ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
5841 S Throop St ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
5830 S Elizabeth St ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
5840 S Bishop St ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
6004 S Wolcott Ave ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
5842 S Bishop St ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
5839 S Throop St ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A
5844 S Bishop St ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A

¹ This property was acquired through the Tax Reactivation Program ("TRP"), under which the City instructs the County of Cook to make a no cash bid on certain tax-delinquent parcels. The City then pursues the acquisition in a court proceeding and receives a tax deed from the County after a court order is issued. The City pays court costs and certain incidental expenses for each parcel, which average between \$2,000 and \$2,500. The size and description of each parcel is usually not available.

(7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)

- (A) Projects implemented in the preceding fiscal year.
- **(B)** A description of the redevelopment activities undertaken.
- (C) Agreements entered into by the City with regard to disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.
- **(D)** Additional information on the use of all Funds received by the Project Area and steps taken by the City to achieve the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.
- (E) Information on contracts that the City's consultants have entered into with parties that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.
- (F) Joint Review Board reports submitted to the City.
- (G) Project-by-project review of public and private investment undertaken from 11/1/99 to 12/31/06, and of such investments expected to be undertaken in year 2007; also, a project-by-project ratio of private investment to public investment from 11/1/99 to 12/31/06, and an estimated ratio of such investments as of the completion of each project and as estimated to the completion of the redevelopment project.

SEE TABLES AND/OR DISCUSSIONS ON FOLLOWING PAGES.

(7)(A) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(A)

During 2006, no projects were implemented.

(7)(B) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(B)

Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the year 2006, if any, have been made pursuant to i) the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area, and ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth on Table 5 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

(7)(C) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(C)

TABLE 7(C)

AGREEMENTS ENTERED INTO WITH REGARD TO THE DISPOSITION & REDEVELOPMENT OF PROPERTY WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA

STREET ADDRESS	APPROXIMATE SIZE OR DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	PURCHASE PRICE	BUYER OF PROPERTY
1750 W. 61ST	N/A	N/A	N/A
6430 S. SEELEY	N/A	N/A	N/A
6214 S. ASHLAND	N/A	N/A	N/A

(7)(D) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(D)

The Project Area has not yet received any increment.

(7)(E) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(E)

During 2006, no contracts were entered into by the City's tax increment advisors or consultants with entities or persons that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.

(7)(F) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(F)

Joint Review Board Reports were submitted to the City. See attached.

(7)(G) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(G)

Since November 1, 1999, no public investment was undertaken in the Project Area. As of December 31, 2006, no public investment is estimated to be undertaken for 2007.

CITY OF CHICAGO JOINT REVIEW BOARD

Report of proceedings of a hearing before the City of Chicago, Joint Review Board held on January 6, 2006, at 10:10 a.m., City Hall, Room 1003, Chicago, Illinois, and presided over by Mr. Eric Reese.

PRESENT:

MR. ERIC REESE, CHAIRMAN

MR. KENNETH C. GUTSCH

MS. SUSAN MAREK

MR. JOHN McCORMICK

REPORTED BY: Accurate Reporting Service

200 N. LaSalle Street

Chicago, Illinois

By: Jack Artstein, C.S.R.

ACCURATE REPORTING SERVICE (312) 263-0052

1 MR. REESE: Good morning. Welcome to

- 2 the Joint Review Board meeting. My name is
- 3 Eric Reese. And to the left of me is --
- 4 MR. McCORMICK: John McCormick with
- 5 the City of Chicago.
- 6 MS. MAREK: Susan Marek, Board of
- 7 Education.
- 8 MR. GUTSCH: Ken Gutsch, City
- 9 Colleges of Chicago.
- MS. ALLEN: Annetta Allen, public.
- MR. REESE: Thank you very much for
- joining us today. For the record, my name is
- 13 Eric Reese. I'm the representative of the
- 14 Chicago Park District which under Section
- 15 11-74.4-5 of the Tax Increment Allocation
- Redevelopment Act is one of the statutory
- designated members of the Joint Review
- Board. Until the election of a chairperson I
- will moderate this Joint Review Board
- 20 meeting.
- For the record, there will be two
- 22 meetings of the Joint Review Board. The
- first meeting is to review the proposed 63 rd
- National Tax Increment Financing District.

1 The date of this meeting was announced at and

- 2 set by the Community Development Commission
- of the City of Chicago at its meeting on
- 4 December 13, 2005.
- 5 Notice of this meeting of the
- 6 Joint Review Board was also provided by
- 7 certified mail to each taxing district
- 8 represented on the board which include the
- 9 Chicago Board of Education, Chicago
- 10 Community Colleges District 508, Chicago
- 11 Park District, Cook County and the City of
- 12 Chicago. Public notice of this meeting was
- also posted as of Wednesday, January 4, 2006
- in various locations throughout City Hall.
- When a proposed redevelopment
- 16 plan would result in displacement of
- residents from ten or more inhabited
- 18 residential units or would include 75 or more
- 19 inhabited residential units the TIF Act
- 20 requires that the public member of the Joint
- 21 Review Board must reside in the proposed
- 22 redevelopment project area.
- In addition, if a municipality's
- housing impacts that determines that the

ACCURATE REPORTING SERVICE (312) 263-0052

```
1 majority of the residential units in the
```

- 2 proposed redevelopment project area are
- 3 occupied by very low, low, or moderate income
- 4 household as defined in Section 3 of the
- 5 Illinois Affordable Housing Act, the public
- 6 member must be a person who resides in a very
- 7 low, low, or moderate income housing with the
- 8 proposed redevelopment project area.
- 9 With us today is Ms. Annetta
- 10 Allen. Are you familiar with the boundaries
- of the proposed 63rd and Ashland Tax
- 12 Increment Financing Redevelopment Project
- 13 Area?
- MS. ALLEN: Yes, I am.
- MR. REESE: What is the address of
- 16 your primary residence?
- MS. ALLEN: 6325 South Hamilton.
- MR. REESE: Is such address within
- the boundaries of the proposed 63rd and
- 20 Ashland Tax Increment Financing
- 21 Redevelopment Project Area?
- MS. ALLEN: Yes, it is.
- MR. REESE: Have you provided a
- representative of the City of Chicago's

ACCURATE REPORTING SERVICE (312) 263-0052

```
1 Department of Planning and Development with
```

- 2 accurate information concerning your income
- and the income of any other members of the
- 4 household residing at such address?
- 5 MS. ALLEN: Yes.
- 6 MR. REESE: Based on the information
- 7 provided to you by the Department of Planning
- 8 and Development regarding applicable income
- 9 level of very low, low, or moderate income
- household do you qualify as a member of very
- low, low or moderate income household?
- MS. ALLEN: Yes, I do.
- MR. REESE: Ms. Allen, are you
- 14 willing to serve as the public member for the
- Joint Review Board proposed 63rd and Ashland
- 16 Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment
- 17 Project Area?
- MS. ALLEN: Yes.
- 19 MR. REESE: I'll entertain a motion
- that Ms. Allen be selected as the public
- 21 member. Is there a motion?
- MR. McCORMICK: So moved.
- MR. REESE: All in favor please vote
- 24 aye.

```
1 COMMISSION IN CHORUS: Aye.
```

- MR. REESE: Let the record reflect
- 3 that Ms. Allen has been selected as the
- 4 public member for the proposed 63 rd and
- 5 Ashland Tax Increment Financing
- 6 Redevelopment Project Area.
- 7 Our next order of business is to
- 8 select a chairperson for the Joint Review
- 9 Board. Are there any nominations?
- 10 MR. McCORMICK: I nominate Eric
- 11 Reese.
- MR. REESE: Is there a second?
- MS. MAREK: Second.
- MR. REESE: All in favor?
- 15 COMMISSION IN CHORUS: Aye.
- 16 MR. REESE: Let the record reflect
- that I, Erie Reese, have been elected as
- 18 chairperson and will now serve as
- 19 chairperson for the remainder of this
- 20 meeting.
- As I mentioned, at this meeting
- we will be reviewing a plan for the proposed
- 23 Tax Increment Financing District proposed by
- the City of Chicago. Staff of the City's

```
1 Department of Planning and Development and
```

- 2 law and other departments have reviewed this
- 3 planned amendment which is introduced by the
- 4 City's Community Development Commission on
- 5 December 13, 2005.
- 6 We will listen to a presentation
- 7 by the consultant on the plan. Following the
- 8 presentation we can address any questions
- 9 that the members might have for the
- 10 consultant or City staff. Amendment to the
- 11 TIF requires us to base our recommendation to
- approve or disapprove the proposed 63rd and
- 13 Ashland Tax Increment Financing District on
- 14 the basis of the area and the plan satisfying
- the plan requirements, the eligibility
- 16 criteria defined in the TIF Act and the
- objectives of the TIF Act.
- 18 If the Board approves the planned
- amendment the Board will then issue an
- 20 advisory non-binding recommendation by the
- vote of the majority of those members present
- 22 and voting. Such recommendation shall be
- submitted to the City within 30 days after
- the Board meeting. Failure to submit such

1 recommendation shall be deemed to constitute

- 2 approval by the Board.
- If the Board disapproves the
- 4 planned amendment the Board must issue a
- 5 written report describing why the planned
- 6 amendment failed to meet one or more of the
- objectives of the TIF Act in both the plan
- 8 requirements and the eligibility
- 9 requirements of the TIF Act. The City will
- then have 30 days to resubmit a revised plan.
- The Board and the City must also
- 12 confer during this time to try and resolve
- the issues that led to the Board's
- 14 disapproval. If such issues cannot be
- 15 resolved or if the revised plan is
- disapproved the City may proceed with the
- 17 plan and the plan can be approved only with
- three fifths vote of the City Council
- 19 excluding positions of members that are
- vacant and those members that are ineligible
- 21 to vote because of conflicts in interest.
- We will now have a presentation
- by Camiros Limited for the 63rd and Ashland
- 24 property.

```
MS. KAMPS LINDWALL: Hi. Good
```

- 2 morning, I'm Jeanne Lindwall the principal
- 3 consultant with Camiros Limited the
- 4 consultants who prepared the redevelopment
- 5 plan and we did a good part of the
- 6 eligibility analysis along with Ernest --
- 7 Enterprises.
- 8 The proposed 63 rd and Ashland TIF
- 9 is irregularly shaped and is generally
- bounded by 53 rd Street on the north, 69 th
- 11 Street on the south, Racine Avenue on the
- 12 east, and the TFX Railroad and Hamilton
- 13 Avenue on the west. The project area
- includes a mix of commercial and residential
- and public institutional and open space
- 16 uses.
- The project area is
- approximately 495 acres in size and contains
- a total of 3,234 tax parcels located on 122
- 20 tax blocks. Nine tax blocks are entirely
- 21 improved and contain no vacant land. Six tax
- 22 blocks are entirely vacant and the remaining
- 23 107 tax blocks contain a mix of improved
- 24 property and vacant land.

```
1 Because of this mix of improved
```

- 2 and vacant land there are a total of 2164 tax
- 3 parcels that contain buildings and other
- 4 improvements. 1070 tax parcels are vacant.
- 5 There are 2007 buildings in the proposed
- 6 project area. 1857 or 92.5 percent of these
- 7 buildings were built in 1970 or earlier thus
- 8 meeting the 35 year age threshold under the
- 9 Act.
- 10 In order to designate TIF
- 11 district certain conditions must be present
- within a project area. There are different
- conditions that are used to qualify improved
- 14 property and vacant land under the state's
- 15 statute. Because there is so much vacant
- land in the project area the evaluation
- addressed the sets of criteria for both
- improved property and for vacant land.
- The eligibility analysis used to
- determine whether the area qualifies for
- 21 designation under the TIF statute included
- 22 the following tasks: Exterior survey of the
- condition and the use of each building, field
- 24 survey and environmental conditions

```
1 involving parking facilities, public
```

- 2 infrastructure, site access and general
- 3 property maintenance, analysis of existing
- 4 land uses and their relationships,
- 5 comparison of surveyed buildings, design
- 6 regulations, analysis of current planning,
- 7 building size and layout, review of
- 8 previously prepared plan studies and
- 9 discussions, reports and other data, an
- analysis of real estate assessment data, and
- a review of available building permit
- 12 records to determine the level of
- development activity in the area.
- 14 The improved portions of the
- project area meet the requirements for
- designation as a conservation area. To
- qualify at least 50 percent of the buildings
- must be 35 years of age or older and at least
- three of 13 factors that are defined in the
- 20 Act must be present.
- As I noted earlier, 92.5 percent
- of the buildings in the project area meet the
- age requirements. And six of the required
- factors are meaningfully present and

```
1 reasonably distributed in the project area.
```

- These include obsolescence, deterioration,
- 3 presence of structures below minimum health
- 4 standards, excessive vacancies, deleterious
- 5 land use and layout, and lack of community
- 6 planning.
- 7 Five additional conditions are
- 8 present to a minor extent in the project area
- 9 and are less well distributed. These include
- dilapidation, illegal use of structures,
- lack of ventilation, light and sanitary
- 12 facilities, inadequate utilities and
- 13 excessive land coverage.
- 14 Vacant land in the project area
- qualifies based on the presence of the
- 16 following conditions. Diversity of
- ownership, tax and special assessment
- delinquencies, deterioration of structures
- of site improvements in areas adjacent to the
- vacant land, and lagging, already planning
- 21 equalized assessed valuation.
- And just as a note, on this map
- which shows existing land use, all of these
- 24 parcels that are outlined in red represent

```
the vacant land within the project area.
```

- 2 As far as the redevelopment plan
- 3 is concerned the Plan seeks to encourage new
- 4 development on vacant land, vacant
- 5 commercial and residential land and the
- 6 redevelopment of deteriorating and obsolete
- 7 commercial properties that suffer from small
- 8 lot size, lack of off-street parking and poor
- 9 accessibility along the project's commercial
- 10 corridors.
- 11 The Plan recognizes that new
- 12 private investment is needed to improve the
- project area and revitalize these areas of
- 14 the community that form the core of the
- 15 project area.
- The Plan seeks to reduce or
- 17 eliminate deleterious conditions in the
- 18 project area, provide for the orderly
- transition of obsolete to more appropriate
- land development patterns, create an
- 21 attractive environment that encourages new
- 22 commercial and residential development,
- encourage redevelopment of vacant and
- underutilized property, increase the supply

```
1 of affordable housing and improve public
```

- 2 facilities and amenities.
- Public intervention is needed to
- 4 help stabilize the project area and achieve
- 5 the City's development area objectives for
- 6 the area including creation of stable
- 7 residential neighborhoods served by
- 8 appropriate commercial, public and private
- 9 facilities.
- Between 2000 and 2004 demolition
- 11 permits significantly outnumbered permits
- 12 for new construction. Until the market
- begins to recognize the economic potential
- of the project area private investment is
- unlikely to occur. The City recognizes that
- it must take a more active role to stimulate
- and support private investment. TIF is one
- the tools that the City can use to help
- improve conditions in the project area.
- The land use plan which is shown
- in this figure is intended to serve as a
- guide for future land use improvements and
- developments within the project area. The
- land use category for the project area

```
include residential mixed use, public
```

- 2 schools and parks, and commercial and
- 3 residential and institutional mixed use
- 4 which is this orange area here and is shown
- 5 on 59th Street which is Ashland and 163rd
- 6 Street.
- 7 The project area, see, these uses
- 8 are all consistent with the redevelopment
- goals of the TIF plan. The project area
- 10 contains a total of 2454 residential units.
- 11 As of June 23, 2005, 2345 were identified and
- 12 considered to be inhabited.
- Because the focus of the plan is
- on rehabilitation and infilled development
- on vacant land demolition of occupied
- residential units is not contemplated.
- However, over the course of the life of the
- 18 TIF plan displacement of ten or more
- inhabited residential units may occur
- requiring the preparation of a housing
- 21 impact study. Should displacement occur the
- 22 City will make a good faith effort to ensure
- that affordable replacement homes are
- available and are near the project area.

```
1 Increased service demands for
```

- 2 most taxing districts are expected to be
- 3 moderate because they are already serving
- 4 the area. Upon completion of the planning
- 5 project all taxing districts will share the
- 6 benefits of a substantially improved tax
- 7 base.
- 8 Over the 23 year life of the TIF
- 9 incremental property taxes are expected to
- 10 be generated from new private development in
- 11 the project area. These new property tax
- 12 revenues may be available to support a
- variety of eligible redevelopment activity
- including infrastructure improvements,
- 15 streetscape enhancement, rehabilitation and
- other eligible activities.
- The 2004 EAV of the project area
- is approximately \$49.8 million. By tax year
- 19 2030 following substantial completion of the
- redevelopment of the 63rd and Ashland
- 21 redevelopment project area the EAV of the
- 22 project area is estimated at approximately
- 23 \$89 million.
- The estimated redevelopment

```
1 project costs include the following line
```

- 2 items and amounts: property assembly, \$3
- 3 million; rehabilitation of existing
- 4 buildings, \$4 million; public works and
- 5 improvements, \$8.2 million; relocation,
- 6 \$500,000; job training, retraining, -- of
- 7 work projects, \$3 million; infra-subsidy, \$2
- 8 million; professional services, \$750,000;
- 9 cost of construction of low and very low
- 10 income housing, \$5 million and daycare
- services, \$250,000. For a total project
- 12 budget of \$26,700,000.
- That concludes my presentation
- and I'm available for questions.
- MR. REESE: Are there any questions?
- MS. KAMPS LINDWALL: Thank you.
- MR. REESE: If there are no further
- 18 questions I will entertain an motion that
- 19 this Joint Review Board finds that the
- 20 proposed 63rd and Ashland Tax Increment
- 21 Financing Redevelopment Project Area
- 22 satisfies the redevelopment plan
- requirements under the TIF Act, the
- 24 eligibility criteria defined in Section 11-

```
1 74.4-3 of the TIF Act, and the objectives of
```

- 2 the TIF Act, and that based on such findings
- 3 approve such a proposed plan under the TIF
- 4 Act. Is there a motion?
- 5 MR. McCORMICK: So moved.
- 6 MR. REESE: All in favor?
- 7 COMMISSION IN CHORUS: Aye.
- 8 MR. REESE: Let the record reflect
- 9 that the TIF has Board approval for proposed
- 10 63rd and Ashland Tax Increment Financing
- 11 Redevelopment Project Area under the TIF
- 12 Act. This will not actually adjourn the 63rd
- and Ashland Joint Review Board meeting. Do I
- 14 have a motion?
- MR. McCORMICK: So moved.
- MR. REESE: All right, we will now --
- 17 COMMISSION IN CHORUS: Aye.
- MR. REESE: All right.
- MS. WORTHY: I think we need a brief
- 20 break here between meeting one and meeting
- 21 two.
- MR. REESE: How long would you like
- 23 to take a brief break?
- MS. WORTHY: Five minutes would be

ACCURATE REPORTING SERVICE (312) 263-0052

```
fine.
 1
              MR. REESE: All right.
 2
 3
              MS. WORTHY: We need to switch. We
 4
     need a public member switch.
 5
              MR. REESE: All right. Thank you
     very much.
 6
 7
                   (Off the record.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1		CITY OF CHICAGO
2	J	OINT REVIEW BOARD
3	. •	o III REVIEW BOIND
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	D	
10	Report	of proceedings of a hearing
11	hofone the Gite	
	perore the city	y of Chicago, Joint Review
12	T. 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	
13	Board held on C	January 6, 2006, at 10:10
14		
15	a.m., City Hall	l, Room 1003, Chicago,
16		
17	Illinois, and p	oresided over by Mr. Eric
18		
19	Reese.	
2 0		
21		
2 2		
2 3		
2 4	<u> </u>	SENT:
2 5		
2 6	MR.	ERIC REESE, CHAIRMAN
2 7	MR.	KENNETH C. GUTSCH
2 8	MS.	SUSAN MAREK
2 9	MR.	JOHN McCORMICK
3 0		
31		
3 2		
3 3		
3 4		
3 5		
3 6		
3 7		
3 8		
3 9		
4.0		
41		
4 2		
43		
4 4		
4 5		
4 6		
4 7	DEDODEED 57	7 ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
4 8	REPORTED BY:	Accurate Reporting Service
48		200 N. LaSalle Street
	_	Chicago, Illinois
5 0	Ву:	Jack Artstein, C.S.R.

1 MR. REESE: Good morning. My name is

- 2 Eric Reese. To the left of me is --
- MR. McCORMICK: John McCormick with
- 4 the City of Chicago.
- 5 MS. MAREK: Susan Marek, Board of
- 6 Education.
- 7 MS. PUTSCH: Ken Gutsch, City
- 8 Colleges of Chicago.
- 9 MS. HODGE: Kit Hodge, Metropolitan
- 10 Planning Council.
- MR. REESE: We will now begin the
- discussion, the amended 105th Vincennes
- Joint Review Board meeting. For the record
- 14 , my name is Eric Reese. I am the
- 15 representative of the Chicago Park District
- which under Section 11-74.4-5 of the Tax
- 17 Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, is
- one of the statutory designated members of
- 19 the Joint Review Board. Until the election
- of a chairperson I will moderate the Joint
- 21 Review Board meeting.
- This is a meeting to review the

```
1 proposed amendment to the 105<sup>th</sup> and
```

- Vincennes Tax Increment Financing District.
- 3 The date of this meeting was announced at and
- 4 set by Community Development Commission of
- 5 the City of Chicago at its meeting of
- 6 December 13, 2005.
- 7 Notice of this meeting by the
- 8 Joint Review Board was provided by certified
- 9 mail to each taxing district represented by
- the Board, represented on the Board which
- includes the Chicago Board of Education,
- 12 Chicago Community Colleges District 508, the
- 13 Chicago Park District, Cook County and the
- 14 City of Chicago and the public member.
- 15 Public notice of this meeting was
- also posted as of Wednesday, January 4, 2006
- at various locations throughout City Hall.
- Our first order of business is to
- select a chairperson for the Joint Review
- Board. Is there any nominations?
- MR. McCORMICK: I recommend Eric
- 22 Reese.

1	MR. REESE: Is there a second?
2	MS. MAREK: Second.
3	MR. REESE: All in favor?
4	COMMISSION IN CHORUS: Aye.
5	MR. REESE: Let the record reflect
6	that myself, Eric Reese, has been elected as
7	chairperson and will now serve as
8	chairperson for the remainder of the
9	meeting.
10	As I mentioned, at this meeting
11	we will be reviewing the proposed plan for
12	the amended, for amending, for the amended
13	105 th and Vincennes TIF District by the City
14	of Chicago. Staff of the City's Department
15	of Planning and Development and other
16	departments have reviewed the plan which was
17	introduced by the City's Community
18	Development Commission on December 13, 2005.
19	
2 0	We will listen to a presentation
21	by the consultant on the plan. Following the

presentation we can address any questions

```
that the members might have for the
consultant or City staff.
```

- Previous amendment to the TIF Act
 requires us to base our recommendation to
 approve or disapprove the amended 105th and
 Vincennes Plan on the basis of the area and
 the amended plan satisfied, and the amended
 plan satisfying the plan requirements, the
 eligibility criteria defined in the TIF Act,
 and the objectives of the TIF Act.
- 11 If the Board approves the amended 12 plan the Board will then issue an advisory non-binding recommendation by the quote of 13 14 the majority of those members present and 15 voting. Such recommendations shall be 16 submitted to the City within 30 days after 17 the Board meeting. Failure to submit such 18 recommendations shall be deemed to 19 constitute approval by the Board.
- If the Board disapproves a plan
 the Board must issue a written report
 describing why the amended plan in an area

failed to meet one of the objectives, failed to meet one or more of the objectives of the Act, and both the plan requirements and the eligibility requirements of the TIF Act. The City will then have 30 days to resubmit a

revised amended plan.

The Board and the City must also confer during that time to try to resolve the issues that led to the Board's disapproval.

If such issues cannot be resolved or if the revised amended plan is disapproved the City may proceed with the amended plan but the amended plan can be approved only with three fifth's vote of the City Council excluding positions of members that are vacant and those members that are ineligible to vote because of conflicts of interest.

The presentation by Johnson Research for the amended $105^{\mbox{th}}$ and Vincennes.

MS. MORONEY: Good morning everyone.

My name is Ann Moroney with Johnson Research

Group and I'll be presenting the amended plan

- 2 to you today.
- You've seen this before. The
- 4 plan was adopted in 2001. Just to give you a
- 5 sense of history of the 105th and Vincennes
- 6 TIF, it was in 1997 the City of Chicago hired
- 7 Turkel Pettigrew Allen and King to undertake
- 8 an eligibility study and prepare a
- 9 redevelopment plan. But the TIF, and the
- 10 public hearing was filed, the Joint Review
- Board was held, but the TIF was not adopted.
- In 1998 the City of Chicago
- considered alternatives land uses. Changes
- to the plan were made but the Plan did not go
- through public hearing or a Joint Review
- process and it remained idle.
- Then in 2001 a new developer came
- to the City and proposed a revised
- 19 residential development. So minor changes
- and updates were made to the Plan. The
- revisions at this time included changing the
- 22 base year to 2000 from 1997 listing the tax

```
parcels, i.e., EAV, or listing the EAV by tax
```

- 2 parcels. Updating the development of some --
- 3 which upon the anticipated EAV was based and
- 4 changing the estimated EAV. Adding a one
- 5 page supplement to the eligibility study
- 6 that summarized the existing conditions as
- of 2001. And identifying any consequent
- 8 impacts to the eligibility. No impacts were
- 9 found. And updated references were made to
- the City's Affordable Housing Policy that
- 11 was included in the Plan.
- The TIF was adopted on October 3,
- 13 2001. Shortly after this adoption the
- developer filed for bankruptcy and the
- development did not occur.
- In 2003 a new developer came to
- the City proposing a residential development
- slightly modified from the earlier one.
- 19 Changes to the Plan required an amended
- process and a full public hearing.
- These changes included updating
- language to reflect the City's current and

standard TIF Plan language throughout the 1 2 Plan. Extending the estimated data of TIF completion to 2024, a step that was not taken 3 when the Plan was first adopted. This allows the Plan to maximize the full 23 year life of 5 the TIF. Also included in this modification 6 7 was language that wanted the City to access the 24th year increment. The modifications 8 to the development program were also 9 included. And the project budget was also 10

revised with updated information.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The amendment plan went through the public hearing process and was prepared for adoption in 2003 but a mailing error occurred and the plan was not amended, the plan was not adopted.

The developer of that process sold his interest to another developer who in turn modified the program and modified their program and today we present to you the 2003 amendments in addition to the modification of the development program in the newest

```
1 current development.
```

2 Just for your information the 3 changes that require an amendment, that require a full public hearing include adding 4 5 additional parcels which has not happened. Substantially affecting the general land 6 uses, which has not happened. Substantially 7 8 changing the nature or extending the life of 9 the redevelopment project. And one of the 10 changes that we're doing is to extend the 11 full life of the TIF to 24, so that is one of 12 the items. Increasing the number of 13 displaced households, that has not occurred. 14 And increasing the project budget by one in 15 -- and the budget hasn't increased since the 16 1997 preparation. 17 Just for, you have seen this 18 project before, but this is an overview. The 19 project area is 57.8 acres in size. 20 the former site of the Chicago Bridge and 21 Iron Works, primarily. It is founded by

22

103rd Street on the north, 107th Street on

т.	the south, 1-56/ on the east and vincennes
2	Avenue on the west. There is 52 tax parcels
3	in the budget area and it's eligibility that
4	was adopted in 2001 is based on it being
5	found to be a vacant blighted area and an
6	improved blighted area.
7	A summary of the amended
8	redevelopment plan that we present to you
9	before. No changes to the land use plan.
10	The land use plan called for a primarily
11	residential blended commercial development.
12	Public area where the Metra train station is
13	and compatible open space and community

facilities as well.

The proposed development, as you see in this board on your right, included 233 housing units which include condos, townhomes and single family detached homes. the estimated redevelopment project costs, the budget for the project is 14,150,000.

This includes an administration

analysis and professional fees, property --

ACCURATE REPORTING SERVICE (312) 263-0052

```
site prep and environmental remediation,
```

- 2 public works and improvements, developer
- interest subsidies, taxing districts,
- 4 capital costs, developer or daycare services
- 5 and welfare work with job training.
- 6 The certified 2000 EAV, the base
- 7 EAV, is listed at \$1,268,074. After
- 8 completion of the redevelopment project
- 9 identified in the plan the anticipated EAV in
- 10 year 2024 is estimated at \$34 million.
- The new, the updated development
- program identifies 233 units and it's
- estimated that the impact to the schools will
- be slightly different, slightly lower than
- they had previously been impacted. So it's
- estimated that 100 new elementary students
- may be generated by development of the
- project area and 32 high school students will
- be generated from the project area. CPS has
- identified or has indicated that the
- existing schools in the project area would be
- able to accommodate that many children.

```
And lastly, a housing impact was
not recovered because the plan includes less
than, fewer than ten inhabited housing
units. So no housing impact was done in the
past and is not needed at the present time.
```

That concludes the summary of the redevelopment plan. I'm happy to answer any questions if you have some.

9 MR. REESE: One quick one. The 10 developer is building park --

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 0

21

2 2

MS. MORONEY: Yes. It will be a two acre park.

MR. REESE: Okay. Any further questions? Seeing there are no further questions I will entertain a motion that this Joint Review Board finds the proposed amended 105th and Vincennes Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area, the area plan satisfies the redevelopment plan requirements under the TIF Act, the eligibility criteria defined in Section 11-74.4-3 of the TIF Act and the objectives of

1	the TIF Act. And that based on such findings
2	approve such an amended project.
3	MR. McCORMICK: So moved.
4	MR. REESE: Second?
5	MS. MAREK: Second.
6	MR. REESE: All in favor?
7	COMMISSION IN CHORUS: Aye.
8	MR. REESE: Let the record reflect
9	that the Joint Review Board's approval of the
10	amended 105 th and Vincennes Redevelopment
11	Plan under the TIF Act. I will consider a
12	motion for adjournment.
13	MR. McCORMICK: So moved.
14	MS. MAREK: Second.
15	MR. REESE: Thank you very much.
16	(Whereupon, the meeting
1,7	adjourned at 10:46 a.m.)
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
2 2	

STATE OF ILLINOIS SS. COUNTY OF C O O K

I, JACK ARTSTEIN depose and say that I am a verbatim reporter doing business in the County of Cook and City of Chicago; that I caused to be transcribed the proceedings heretofore identified and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the aforesaid hearing.

JACK ARTSTEIN

SUBSCK.	LDE	JAND	SWORN	10			
BEFORE	ΜE	THIS	3,	lo		DAY	O F
	FE	BEVAL Y	,		Α.	D. :	20 <u>06</u>

NOTARY PUBLIC

(8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(A)

During 2006, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

(9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(B)

During 2006, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

(10) CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORTS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(9)

During 2006, there were no tax increment expenditures or cumulative deposits over \$100,000 within the Project Area. Therefore, no compliance statement was prepared.

(11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

The 63rd/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area is irregular in shape but encompasses certain properties in the area generally bounded by West 53rd Street on the north, West 65th Street on the south, South Ashland Avenue on the east and the CSX Railroad on the west. The map below illustrates the location and general boundaries of the Project Area. For precise boundaries, please consult the legal description in the Redevelopment Plan.

